| 1 | STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE | | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | |
| 4 | | 2009 - 10:17 a.m. REDACTED | | | |
| 5 | Concord, New | Hampshire [FOR PUBLIC USE] | | | |
| 6 | | NHPUC APRO9109 AM 9:45 | | | |
| 7 | RE: | DG 08-107 | | | |
| 8 | | CONCORD STEAM CORPORATION: Petition for Approval of Transfer of Utility Assets, Distribution | | | |
| 9 | | System Upgrades and Steam Purchase | | | |
| 10 | | Agreement. | | | |
| 11 | | | | | |
| 12 | PRESENT: | Chairman Thomas B. Getz, Presiding Commissioner Graham J. Morrison | | | |
| 13 | | Commissioner Clifton C. Below | | | |
| 14 | | | | | |
| 15 | | Connie Fillion, Clerk | | | |
| 16 | | | | | |
| 17 | APPEARANCES: | Reptg. Concord Steam Corporation: Sarah B. Knowlton, Esq. (McLane, Graf) | | | |
| 18 | | baran b. Miewicon, Esq. (Mehane, Graf) | | | |
| 19 | | Reptg. PUC Staff: Edward N. Damon, Esq. | | | |
| 20 | | Matthew Fossum, Esq. | | | |
| 21 | | | | | |
| 22 | Cot | art Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52 | | | |
| 23 | , | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | |

| 1 | | |
|----|------------------------------------|----------|
| 2 | INDEX | |
| 3 | | PAGE NO. |
| 4 | WITNESS: PETER BLOOMFIELD | |
| 5 | Direct examination by Ms. Knowlton | 6 |
| 6 | Cross-examination by Mr. Damon | 76 |
| 7 | Interrogatories by Cmsr. Below | 136 |
| 8 | Interrogatories by Chrmn. Getz | 147 |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | WITNESS PANEL: STEPHEN P. FRINK | |
| 11 | ROBERT J. WYATT | |
| 12 | Direct examination by Mr. Damon | 155 |
| 13 | Cross-examination by Ms. Knowlton | 166 |
| 14 | Interrogatories by Cmsr. Below | 167 |
| 15 | Redirect examination by Mr. Damon | 173 |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | * * * | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | CLOSING STATEMENTS BY: | |
| 20 | Mr. Damon | 173 |
| 21 | Ms. Knowlton | 174 |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |

| 1 | | | | | |
|----------|-------------|---|----------|--|--|
| 2 | EXHIBITS | | | | |
| 3 | EXHIBIT NO. | DESCRIPTION | PAGE NO. | | |
| 4 | 1 | Settlement Agreement | 6 | | |
| 5 | 2 | Verified Petition for Approval of Transfer of Utility Assets, | 6 | | |
| 6 | | Distribution System Upgrades and Steam Purchase Agreement | | | |
| 7 | 3 | RESERVED (Revised redlined version | 57 | | |
| 8 | | of the Steam Purchase Agreement) | 3 | | |
| 9 | 4 | Company responses to Staff Data Request 1-42 and 1-43 | 120 | | |
| 10 | 5 | RESERVED (Record request for rate | 148 | | |
| 11 | | trajectory to stay at the current site, with cost assumptions) | | | |
| 12 13 | 6 | RESERVED (Record request for the price natural gas would have to be | 153 | | |
| 14 | | to beat the first year's rates under the Settlement Agreement) | | | |
| 15 | 7 | Testimony of Robert J. Wyatt | 157 | | |
| 16 | 8 | Testimony of Stephen P. Frink, including attachments | 162 | | |
| 17 | | inotading decadiments | | | |
| 18 | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | |
| 23 24 | | | | | |
| ∠4 | | | | | |

And,

PROCEEDINGS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Good morning, We'll open the hearing in docket DG 08-107. evervone. August 28, 2008, Concord Steam Corporation filed a request for a determination that its plans to enter into a Steam Purchase Agreement with Concord Power & Steam was in the public interest, and a determination that the construction of system upgrades are necessary to interconnect its distribution system to its proposed new cogeneration facility would be in the public interest, and a determination that it was -- that it may be permitted to sell certain utility assets to Concord Power. An order of notice was issued on September 24 setting a prehearing conference that was held on October 29. And, subsequently, on November 12th, a procedural schedule was approved in this proceeding calling for a hearing originally on February 10th, that was subsequently postponed to today. And, we also have before us a Settlement Agreement that was filed on February 20. Can we take appearances at this time please. MS. KNOWLTON: Good morning, Chairman and Commissioners. My name is Sarah Knowlton. I'm with

{DG 08-107} [REDACTED - For Public Use] {02-25-09}

the law firm of McLane, Graf, Raulerson & Middleton.

```
1
       I'm here today on behalf of Concord Steam Corporation.
 2
       With me today from the Company is Mark Saltsman and Peter
 3
       Bloomfield. And, we have one witness, Peter Bloomfield,
 4
       who I'll be putting on today.
 5
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ:
                                         Okay. Good morning.
 6
                         CMSR. MORRISON:
                                          Good morning.
 7
                         CMSR. BELOW: Good morning.
 8
                         MR. DAMON: Good morning, Commissioners.
 9
       Edward Damon, for the Staff. And, with me this morning
10
       are Stephen Frink, Robert Wyatt, and Matthew Fossum.
11
                         CMSR. BELOW: Good morning.
12
                         CMSR. MORRISON: Good morning.
13
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ:
                                         Good morning. Are there
14
       any procedural issues we need to address before we hear
15
       from your witness?
16
                         MS. KNOWLTON:
                                        We have two exhibits that
17
       we've marked -- we'd like marked for identification.
18
       first, and I apologize, they're out of order, the first
19
       actually is the Settlement Agreement, and the second is
20
       the Verified Petition that the Company filed to initiate
21
       the docket.
22
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. And, you propose
23
       to mark those at this time?
24
                         MS. KNOWLTON:
                                        That's correct.
```

6

1 CHAIRMAN GETZ: And, are we starting --2 I guess there were no exhibits marked during the 3 prehearing conference, so the exhibits described by 4 Ms. Knowlton will be marked respectively as Exhibits 5 Number 1 and 2 for identification in this proceeding. 6 MS. KNOWLTON: Thank you. The Company 7 calls Peter Bloomfield. 8 (Whereupon Peter Bloomfield was duly 9 sworn and cautioned by the Court 10 Reporter.) 11 MS. KNOWLTON: I'm going to remain 12 seated for my examination, if that's okay? 13 PETER BLOOMFIELD, SWORN 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 BY MS. KNOWLTON: 16 Mr. Bloomfield, would you state your position with the 17 Company please. 18 I'm President of Concord Steam. 19 How long have you been with the Company? 20 Since 1986. Α. 21 What is your educational background? 22 I've got a degree in Mechanical Engineering from Union 2.3 College. 24 Have you testified before this Commission before?

7

- 1 A. I have.
- 2 Q. In what kind of proceedings?
- 3 A. Miscellaneous rate cases.
- 4 Q. How about cost of energy proceedings?
- A. About cost of energy, cost of rate base, and issues related to the Company.
- 7 Q. Would you describe what kind of service Concord Steam 8 provides?
- 9 A. We provide heat in the form of steam to the immediate
 10 area of downtown Concord, and other locations like this
 11 State Office complex in Concord.
- 12 Q. Where is the Company located?
- 13 A. On the grounds of the State Office complex here.
- 14 Q. On Pleasant Street?
- 15 A. In Pleasant Street.
- 16 Q. And, the State owns that facility?
- 17 A. The State owns the property. We have a 30-year lease
 18 of that, that we are leasing that facility from the
 19 State of New Hampshire.
- 20 Q. When does that lease expire?
- 21 A. It expires August 30th, 2010.
- Q. Would you describe the customers, the types of customers that the Company serves?
- 24 A. Our customers are generally office space that is in

Bloomfield]

1 downtown Concord. The majority of our customer is 2 either federal, state, or city government buildings. 3 It's roughly 65 percent of our customer load. remainder is merchant, commercial office space, that 4 5 type of stuff downtown.

[WITNESS:

8

- Q. Do you serve any residential customers?
- 7 We do serve one residential house, and we do serve a Α. couple of apartment buildings downtown as part of the 8 9 commercial space that we serve.
- And, in the case of the apartment buildings, is the 10 Q. 11 landlord the owner of the building, the customer of the 12 Company, as opposed to the individual tenants?
- 13 Α. That's correct.

- Is the Company proposing to change the way in which it 14 Q. 15 provides steam service to its customer?
- 16 Α. Yes. Yes, we are.
- And, would you explain, in general terms, what the 17 Q. nature of that proposed change is? 18
- 19 Presently, the Company operates the facility, owns some Α. 20 of the equipment in the facility, and generates all of 21 its own steam. All of the personnel that operate the 22 facility are -- work for the Company. And, we're proposing to become more of a distribution modeled 23 24 company, rather than a generation one, and enter into a

long-term purchase agreement for the steam, where we'll

- 2 be buying the steam from an outside party.
- 3 Q. So, currently, the Company both generates and
- 4 distributes steam to the customers?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. And, the Company, under the proposal, would essentially
- 7 just be a steam distribution company?
- 8 A. That's right.
- 9 Q. Do you have before you the exhibit that's been marked
- for identification as "Exhibit 2"?
- 11 A. Yes, I do.
- 12 Q. And, is that -- that's a Verified Petition that the
- Company filed with this Commission, is that right?
- 14 A. Yes. Yes, it is.
- 15 Q. And, does that petition generally describe this
- transaction that you're referring to?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And, are you familiar with that petition?
- 19 A. I am.
- 20 Q. And, in fact, you signed that under oath, correct?
- 21 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 22 Q. And, are the facts that are in that petition
- essentially the same today as when you filed that with
- 24 the Commission?

- 1 A. Yes, they are.
- 2 Q. So, you indicated that the Company intends to purchase
- 3 the steam that it needs to serve its customers, its
- 4 retail steam customers in the Concord area, from a
- 5 third party. Who is that third party?
- 6 A. Concord Power & Steam.
- 7 Q. And, do you have an ownership interest in that entity?
- 8 A. Presently, I do, yes.
- 9 Q. And, do you have an ownership interest in Concord
- 10 Steam?
- 11 A. Yes, I do.
- 12 Q. Where is the Concord Power plant going to be located?
- 13 A. On the south end of Concord, in the old railroad yard.
- 14 It's down off of South Main Street.
- 15 | Q. What will be the -- What's the projected capacity of
- 16 | that plant?
- 17 | A. It's planned to have a central wood-fired boiler,
- capable of 180,000 pounds of steam an hour.
- 19 Q. And, can you just give us some background on that
- 20 plant, in terms of the generation of steam, versus the
- 21 generation of electricity at that plant?
- 22 A. There's -- The plant is designed to be base loaded,
- 23 generating renewable energy in the form of electricity,
- as well as thermal energy in steam. The approximate

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

percentage output from that facility is going to be roughly 85 percent electricity and 15 percent steam and thermal.

- Q. Who will purchase the electricity from that -- that's generated at that plant?
- A. There's some utilities that we're negotiating with purchase power agreements with now.
- Q. That electricity would be sold essentially on the wholesale market?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. And, would Concord Steam be purchasing most or all of the steam output of that plant?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. What is the status of the construction of that plant?
- 15 A. The facility has essentially all of its permits that it
- needs to build it. We've got all the city and local
- permits. We have all of the state permits necessary.
- We're now trying to arrange for financing and
- finalizing the miscellaneous off-take agreements and
- 20 arranging for financing.
- 21 Q. What's an "off-take agreement"?
- 22 A. I mean, as in a purchase power agreement, and just
- finalizing the Steam Purchase Agreement.
- 24 Q. Has construction started at the site?

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

- A. Construction has started in terms of that the site has been cleared, and remediated of asbestos and hazardous waste, and is ready to start construction.
- Q. And, all of the necessary architectural and engineering plans, have they been completed?
- A. The detailed design has not been completed, but there's been preliminary design done to a stage sufficient enough to provide the contractor with enough information to provide a firm price to build the project.
- 11 Q. Have you gotten quotes yet?
- 12 A. Yes. Yes.
- 13 Q. And, have you selected a contractor?
- 14 A. A contractor has been selected for the projection, and that would be --
- 16 Q. And, who will that be?
- 17 A. Methuen Construction Company, out of Salem, New Hampshire.
- 19 Q. When do you anticipate that construction of the facility would begin?
- A. We would hope to be able to start in April or May.

 Again, it's going to primarily depend on when we can

 finalize the financing on the project.
- 24 | Q. Can you describe in more detail what the status of the

financing is?

- A. We have gotten some term sheets from a bank, and the bank is in the midst of finalizing its information. It needs to locate another bank in order to do the deal with this bank. It has been told by its management that it's not allowed to loan more than \$40 million on any one project. So, they need to find a partner bank now in order to finance the project. So, they're in the process of doing that. And, the other portion that needs to be completed before we can close on financing is the -- finalizing the Steam Purchase Agreement and the purchased power agreement.
- Q. How will that financing affect your ownership interest in the Company?
- A. In order to finance the project, a significant portion of the project has to be provided in terms of cash equity. The bank will only loan roughly 80 percent of the cash -- 80 percent of the funds necessary to build the facility. So, Concord Power has had to go to outside investors and equity partners to raise approximately the 10 to 15 million additional dollars that we'd need as equity.
- Q. What is the total overall amount?
- A. The total cost of the project is in the neighborhood of

- 1 \$70 million.
- Q. So, 80 percent of that, roughly 80 percent of that would be financed?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 | Q. And, the rest would be equity that's put in?
- 6 A. That's right, yes.
- Q. Now, you've indicated that one of the pieces -- well,
 let me go back to the timing for a minute. So, you've
 indicated that, if the financing, if you're able to
 work out the details of the financing within the next
 few months, that you would expect the construction to
 begin in April or May of this year?
- 13 A. That's correct, yes.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Can you just sketch out in general terms, because later we're going to talk about the actual transition of service from your current facility to the new facility once constructed. But what I'd like to just get a general sense of now is what the timing is, once that construction begins, until completion?
 - A. The period of construction, from start to completion, is going to be slightly less than two years. So that, if we can start in April or May, we'd be looking at getting the project up and on line completely in perhaps February of 2011.

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

Q. And, that's a little bit further out in time than is reflected in the Verified Petition that was filed, correct?

A. That's correct.

- 5 Q. And, what has been the cause of that delay?
- A. The delay has been primarily what's taken to finalize financing.
 - Q. Okay. Before we get to the details of the Steam

 Purchase Agreement that is before the Commission today,

 I'd like you to address the options that Concord Steam

 Corporation has, in terms of its operations? I mean,

 currently, you manufacture steam on Pleasant Street at

 the State facility. Would it be possible for Concord

 Steam to stay at that location, instead of purchasing

 the steam from Concord Power?
 - A. Yes, it would be possible. Part of the issues there is the plant is very old and equipment is old. It's by no means state-of-the-art. In order to stay there, we would have to spend some significant money on upgrading the equipment, and with not just newer, more efficient boilers and that sort of set of equipment, but we would also have to put the pollution control equipment on. The site does not lend itself to putting in the same type of project that is planned for South Concord with

a base loaded electrical generation plant. So that, in staying here, we wouldn't have this larger base of -part of the advantage of moving to there is there's
going to be -- the plant is going to be base loaded.

There's going to be -- all of the fixed costs and
operating costs of the operation will be spread over a
much larger base, with the electricity generation
carrying 85 percent of the cost of the operation of the
project, it's ongoing staff and everything else related
to the project. In staying here, we wouldn't have
those advantages of economy of scale, and it would
cause our rates to have to go significantly more,
because of the infrastructure improvements we'd have to
make here.

- Q. Currently, my understanding is is that Concord Steam receives regular daily deliveries of wood chips?
- A. That's right, yes.

- Q. And, those are -- how do they get to the Company?
- A. They come through, essentially, through downtown

 Concord. We get in the neighborhood, over an entire

 year, of roughly 50,000 tons of wood. And, the new

 facility will be using approximately 250,000 tons of

 wood. So, that would be another complication in trying

 to stay here and build a new facility in this location

is increased truck traffic and the associated issues
with that.

- Q. And, are there any issues associated with the ability to connect into the grid, the electric grid, at your
- 5 current facility?
- A. The electrical interconnection issues are more difficult at this facility, as opposed to the location in South Concord.
- 9 Q. Is it possible that Concord Steam could just buy steam,
 10 instead of from Concord Power, from another source?
- 11 A. It is possible. There's been certainly no interest, no
 12 one has ever approached us on that. It just hasn't -13 hasn't risen on the radar screen.
- Q. Are you aware of anyone that you could buy -- any company that you can buy from?
- 16 A. No, I'm not.
- 17 Q. So, it's a theoretical possibility?
- 18 A. That's a theoretical possibility.
- 19 Q. Setting aside the issues that you raised about
 20 remaining at the current site and the inherent
 21 limitations, physical limitations of that site, why
 22 couldn't Concord Steam, the utility, develop and own
 23 the cogen plant at that location in South Concord? Or,
 24 actually, let me just ask you, could it?

```
A. It could, but for the requirements of capital. That there's been approximately $3 million invested to date in -- from miscellaneous investors in this project, that's 3 million that Concord Steam certainly doesn't have. And, we're going to need an additional -- Concord Power is going to have to raise roughly an additional $10 million or so to finance the project. That's also not funds that -- cash equity funds that Concord Steam doesn't have. I mean, our total book value of the whole company is in the neighborhood of $3 to $5 million, depending on what's included.
```

- Q. Why couldn't Concord Steam just finance the construction of the cogen plant?
- A. Because a certain amount of equity cash is required.

 It's like, when you go to buy a house, the bank requires a certain amount of cash equity. You can't take out a second mortgage and borrow money to provide that cash equity. You need to have that cash equity unrestricted in order to borrow the rest of the money.
- Q. Looking at Exhibit 2, which is the Verified Petition that you signed --
- 22 A. Yes.

Q. -- and the Company filed with the Commission. Can you describe generally what that Petition asks the

1 | Commission to do in this case?

- A. It asks to approve the Steam Purchase Agreement, and doing that because, at present, the two companies,

 Concord Steam and Concord Power & Steam are affiliated,

 and therefore needing their approval because they're affiliated companies.
- Q. Did Concord Steam also, well, put the Steam Purchase
 Agreement before the Commission because ultimately
 Concord Steam will be seeking recovery of the rates -through rates the costs that it incurs through the
 Steam Purchase Agreement, is that right?
- A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. And, did the Company have any concerns about, if it hadn't brought the agreement before the Commission now, and went ahead and entered into an agreement with Concord Power and then came to the Commission, that it could end up with possibly some stranded costs or some issues if no one had looked at the transaction beforehand?
- A. Yes, that's right. As it was an affiliate agreement, and we're looking to recover these costs through cost of energy and rate-related issues, we wanted to make sure that the Commission was informed and agreed with the concept.

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

- Q. Okay. And, the Verified Petition has a version of the
 Steam Purchase Agreement that's attached to it, is that
 right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. And, has the Company made any changes to the Steam

 Purchase Agreement through the course of this docket?
- 7 A. Yes, we have.
- Q. And, is the updated version of the Steam Purchase

 Agreement attached to Exhibit 1, which is the

 Settlement Agreement that we're presenting today?
- 11 | A. Yes, it is.
- 12 Q. And, what was the -- what was the cause of those changes?
- 14 A. It was -- The changes were as a result of Staff and
 15 Company interaction and reviewing and going over the
 16 document, and just making revisions and correcting it,
 17 defining it better.
- Q. Did you -- you're familiar with the fact that Staff filed testimony from two witnesses in this case?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And, did you review that testimony?
- 22 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And, were some of the changes that the Company agreed to in the Steam Purchase Agreement a result of issues

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

that were raised by the Staff in its testimony?

2 A. Yes, it is.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

- Q. Are there any other approvals that the Company is seeking through the Verified Petition? And, you can take a look at the Petition.
 - A. Approval of not just the Steam Purchase Agreement, but, as part of the arrangement, Concord Steam owns certain hard assets that once it is no longer a generation company has no use for. And, those hard assets, Concord Steam is looking to sell to Concord Power. And, so, we're looking for approval to transfer of those assets.
- Q. And, can you describe how much electricity is currently cogenerated through those assets that Concord Steam is seeking to sell to Concord Power?
 - A. We -- Concord Steam presently cogenerates in the neighborhood of 6 million kilowatt-hours a year of electricity.
- Q. And, how does that compare to the amount of electricity that is projected to be generated at the Concord Power plant?
- 22 A. The total output of the Concord Power facility is in the neighborhood of 150 million kilowatt-hours a year.
- 24 Q. Are the assets that Concord Steam is seeking to

transfer to Concord Power, are those the assets that
are described on the attachment to the Petition, I
think it's Exhibit B, if you would look at that?

A. Yes.

- 5 Q. Do you have that in front of you?
- 6 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Okay. Would you -- at the bottom of that exhibit, it indicates that the purchase price would be \$1.5 million for those assets?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. How did you arrive at that price?
- 12 Α. We looked at the valuing of those assets in three different ways. We looked to see what our -- what the 13 depreciated net value of those assets were on our books 14 15 at the present time. We tried to make an estimate of what these pieces of equipment might be able to be sold 16 17 at in the used equipment market. And, we also 18 considered what the new replacement value of this 19 equipment would be. In doing that, the new replacement is in the neighborhood of three and a half million 20 21 dollars. And, the depreciated net value and the used market value is roughly the same; depreciated net being 22 23 roughly 700,000, used equipment is something slightly 24 more than \$600,000.

So that, in picking the number of one and a half million dollars, it was -- it's an overall benefit to Concord Steam. We feel it represents what this equipment is worth to Concord Power, in that they're getting a benefit from not having to buy all new equipment. It is used equipment, it's not new equipment. So, it's not appropriate to try and charge the price of new equipment. But we felt it was more than what the used equipment market value would be.

So, we chose the million and a half dollars.

- Q. If the Commission were to approve the sale of the equipment to Concord Power, what would be the timing of the actual transfer of the equipment?
- A. As the -- obviously, the existing facility needs to stay operational until the point where Concord Power is able to provide steam. So, there's going to be some transfer there. And, so, at some point, as the Concord Power facility becomes more -- becomes closer and closer to the point of commercial operation, the Concord Steam operation will discontinue burning of wood and start to provide that equipment to Concord Power. At that time is when the payment will be made for that equipment. During that, basically, in the -- probably toward the middle, the end of 2010, when -- as

24 Bloomfield

> 1 the equipment is being prepared to transfer to Concord 2 Power.

[WITNESS:

- Just looking at this list, most of this equipment 0. appears to be quite large?
- Α. That's correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- What's the physical process for removing it from the Q. current location and getting it down to South Concord?
- Some of the equipment, we have multiple redundant parts Α. and pieces. And, so, some of the equipment we have operational in the steam plant is not equipment that's appropriate for Concord Power, that we're not going to be selling to Concord Power. So, there's equipment that we're going to be able to continue to use and operate the facility even as we take some out. -- And, at some point, what we'll have is the Concord Power facility will have the gas-fired back-up boilers in place and operational before they're completely operational. And, so, we'll have -- be burning gas at the present location, as well as capable of burning gas at the new location, and having two sources of steam available as some of the transfer of equipment is made.
- Concord Steam currently has a lease with BS & Chips for Q. a woodyard, correct?
- That's correct. Α.

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

- Q. And, under this transaction, would Concord Steam continue in that lease arrangement?
- 3 A. No. No.
- 4 Q. Why not?
- A. Concord Steam would discontinue that lease, and that woodyard and the lease and control of that woodyard would be handed off to Concord Power.
- 8 Q. Is any of this equipment that's on this schedule or 9 this Exhibit B located at the woodyard?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Which types of equipment?
- 12 A. The truck scale, the front-end loader, the truck dump,
 13 the wood chip trailers, and the tractor that -- the
 14 truck tractor that hauls those trailers are all part of
 15 the -- either part of the woodyard or associated with
 16 operating the woodyard.
 - Q. All right. Let's turn to the Settlement Agreement, which has been marked as "Exhibit 1". Do you have that before you?
- 20 A. I do.

17

18

19

- 21 Q. And, the Company is a party to that, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And, that Settlement Agreement was the result of negotiation between the Staff of the Public Utilities

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

- 1 Commission and the Company, right?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- Q. Attached to the Settlement Agreement is the Steam
 Purchase Agreement. Do you see that?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. And, are you familiar with that version of the Steam
 Purchase Agreement?
- 8 A. I am.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. What I want to do is I want to go through the terms and conditions of that Steam Purchase Agreement with you in some detail, because I believe that that's really central to this transaction that the Company is proposing and seeking approval of. Would you describe, in general terms, what Concord Steam Corporation has agreed to in this agreement with Concord Power?
 - A. Concord Steam has agreed to purchase all of its steam requirements from Concord Power, at -- under a given price structure as defined in the Agreement.
 - Q. Did Concord Steam reserve the right to purchase steam from others, if it so desires?
 - A. Yes. Concord Steam does have the right that, if the conditions are appropriate, Concord Steam can purchase steam from another party or it can generate its own steam in the back-up boilers that it will own that will

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

- 1 be on the property of Concord Power.
- 2 Q. And, you just said "if the conditions were
- appropriate", what did you mean by that?
- 4 A. If there was an issue with wood supply and the cost of
- 5 wood was more expensive, or if natural gas, for
- 6 instance, got very inexpensive, and it was more
- 7 appropriate for Concord Steam to buy steam that might
- 8 be less expensive than what would be otherwise be able
- 9 to be generated by burning wood.
- 10 Q. So, if you would look at Section 2.5 of the Steam
- 11 Purchase Agreement.
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Does this embody that provision?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And, is it entirely within the purview of Concord Steam
- 16 to make that determination about --
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. -- whether to self-generate --
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. -- or to purchase from a third party?
- 21 A. Yes. It's Concord Steam's decision as to whether to
- 22 operate its own boilers, purchase from a third party,
- or buy steam from Concord Power.
- 24 Q. How would Concord Steam self-generate?

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

- A. Concord Steam will own two new gas-fired back-up

 boilers that will be located at the Concord Power

 facility. And, we have the right to operate those

 whenever we feel it's appropriate.
- 5 Q. Looking at Section 2.7 of the Agreement, it refers to back-up boilers".
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Are those the boilers that you're referring to?
- 9 A. Yes, it is.
- 10 Q. And, when would Concord Steam purchase those?
- 11 A. Concord Steam would purchase those, obviously, in time
 12 for installation at the new facility. It would
 13 probably place an order for those boilers in -- maybe
 14 probably about a year from start-up of construction of
 15 the Concord Power facility.
- 16 Q. What is the approximate cost of the back-up boilers?
- 17 A. Those boilers are approximately \$900,000.
- 18 Q. For one or for two?
- 19 A. For both boilers, is the cost of both boilers.
- 20 Q. Where would they be located?
- 21 A. They would be installed and located at the Concord 22 Power facility on South Main Street.
- Q. And, looking at Section 2.7, does that describe the terms that would govern the operation and maintenance

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

- 1 of those boilers?
- 2 A. Yes. And, it also allows that, if we need to increase
- 3 the capacity or modify those boilers, that Concord
- 4 Power will do what's necessary to let us do that.
- 5 Q. So, they're going to be owned by Concord Steam,
- 6 correct?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. But they will be run by Concord Power?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. What happens if there's a problem with Concord Power,
- if Concord Power goes out of business?
- 12 A. Concord Steam owns those boilers, has rights to those
- boilers, and, if necessary, could relocate those
- boilers to another location and continue to operate.
- 15 | Q. And, with those -- those boilers are sufficient to meet
- the steam requirements of Concord Steam?
- 17 A. Yes, they are.
- 18 Q. And, you indicated that they're going to be owned by
- 19 Concord Steam. Are there any provisions in this Steam
- 20 | Purchase Agreement that -- that actually provide any
- 21 kind of assurances, you know, that they're owned by
- 22 Concord Steam?
- 23 | A. Yes. There's a clause that -- where Concord Steam
- 24 files UCC statements and such, and put those on file to

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

- make it clear to everybody that the boilers are owned,
 in fact, by Concord Steam, and not by Concord Power.
- 3 Q. And, that's in Article 16 in the agreement?
- 4 A. Yes.

10

- Q. And, do you know whether, for example, when the financing is obtained for the construction of the plant, whether, under this Article 16, whether Concord Power would be able to pledge those assets, those back-up boilers, as some form of security for the
- 11 A. No, they would not, because don't -- they wouldn't own them.
- Q. Okay. And, what about the land on which they're located? Who's going to own that land?

financing that it enters into?

- 15 A. That is -- That land would either be owned by Concord
 16 Power or leased by Concord Power in a long-term lease.
- 17 Q. Who currently owns the land?
- 18 A. Another entity owns the land. It's presently myself
 19 and Mark.
- 20 Q. Is there -- Do you own it in your individual capacity?
- 21 A. No, as P&M Realty.
- Q. Okay. And, if you look at the signature page in the
 Steam Purchase Agreement on Page 23, at the bottom,
 there's "Agreed" -- there's language that says "Agreed

and Accepted as to Article 16 only: P&M Realty of Concord, LLC". Is that the entity that you're referring to as the "landowner"?

4 A. Yes, it is.

7

8

9

10

- Q. And, do you know why P&M Realty would be signing the Steam Purchase Agreement?
 - A. Just to make it clear that the boilers that are owned by Concord Steam are owned by Concord Steam and not part of any part of Concord Power's property or right, nor does Concord Power have any rights to them.
- Q. So, P&M Realty also would not be able to obtain any kind of lien or security interest in those boilers that belong to Concord Steam?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. Is the Company going to be seeking to recover the cost
 16 of the back-up boilers as part of its rates?
- 17 A. Yes, we are.
- 18 | Q. When would you do that?
- A. We'll be filing a new base rate case probably in early
 20 2010. Part of that base rate case would cover the fact
 21 that we would no longer be operating and generating
 22 steam, that we'll be purchasing steam. It would also
 23 cover improvements to the existing steam distribution
 24 system that we plan to make, re-insulating significant

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

sections of the line, trying to rereduce our line loss
issues that we have, and looking to recover the cost of
the interconnecting piping that would run from the
Concord Power facility to -- connected to our existing
distribution system.

- Q. Take a look at Section 5(b) of the Settlement Agreement. Do you have that before you?
- 8 A. I do.

6

7

15

16

- 9 Q. Okay. Did the Company and the Staff of the Commission
 10 agree on any terms relating to these two back-up
 11 boilers?
- 12 A. That the purchase of the back-up boilers is prudent and
 13 in the best interest of Concord Steam and the
 14 ratepayers.
 - Q. What are the Staff and the Company asking the Commission to do with regard to the back-up boilers?
- 17 A. They will be reviewing the final costs and asking the
 18 Commission to approve those as being prudent.
- 19 Q. And, that the review of those final costs would come
 20 through that base rate case that you were referring to?
- 21 A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. All right. Going back to the Steam Purchase Agreement,
 you indicated that Concord Steam has the right to
 purchase all of its requirements, steam requirements

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

from Concord Power. Can you explain the process for
determining how much steam actually has been delivered
from Concord Power to Concord Steam?

- A. There will be a couple of steam flow meters, Btu meters, actually installed at the Concord Power facility. There will be two duplicate meters, and one of which will be owned and controlled by Concord Power and the other by Concord Steam. And, we'll be using those meters to track and quantify the amount of steam actually being sold and purchased.
- Q. If you look at Article 6 of the Steam Purchase Agreement that's called "Metering".
- 13 A. Yes.

- Q. That refers to two different meters that are going to be located at the Steam Delivery Point. What is the "Steam Delivery Point"?
 - A. It is the point at the Concord Power facility where the steam line exits the building and enters the -- and goes and starts the underground distribution line.
 - Q. Would you -- This Section 6.1 refers to a "Primary

 Steam Meter" versus a "Back-Up Meter". Can you explain
 the difference between the two?
 - A. The "Primary Meter" would be one that is used as the meter that would be used to actually buy and sell steam

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

off of. The "Back-Up Meter" is there in case there is
a problem with the Primary Meter or -- and also as a
double check, to make sure that the Primary Meter stays
accurate.

- 5 Q. Does Concord Power own both meters?
 - A. Concord Power would own the Primary Meter and Concord

 Steam will own and control the second meter, the

 Back-Up Meter.
 - Q. And, what rights does the utility have, that being Concord Steam, what rights does the utility have to make sure that the meter is being read correctly?
- 12 A. We have a clause in there that all of the records of
 13 those meters will be tracked and stored electronically.
 14 That Concord Steam personnel have access to those
 15 meters when -- however they would like, and have access
 16 to all records and maintenance on those meters as well.
- 17 Q. Could Concord Steam be present when the meter is read?
- 18 A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

- 19 Q. Do you anticipate that happening?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And, what about -- is there any provision with regard
 22 to any inaccuracies in the meters -- in the meter and
 23 how that would be handled?
- 24 A. If there's a difference between the two meters, then

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

the appropriate meters would be investigated,
recalibrated as necessary, and the meter readings would
be adjusted accordingly.

- Q. So, Concord Steam can take the data that it has from the Back-Up Meter and compare that to the data that's generated from the Primary Meter to ensure accuracy?
- A. Yes. That's right, yes.

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 8 Q. Section 6.2.3 refers to "seals being on the meter".
 9 What does that mean?
 - A. Just that, if a -- in order for the internals of the meter to be accessed for calibration to happen for a -- for calibration of the metering to be modified, the seals would have to be broken in order to get into the equipment to actually change that calibration. You know, the seals is just an indication that -- a simple mechanical indication that there's been no tampering with the meters.
 - Q. How often will each of those two meters be calibrated?
- A. I believe that they would be done on a regular basis every three years, and as necessary.
- 21 Q. You can look at Section 6.2.5 on "meter testing".
- A. Will be calibrated annually, but bench tested every
 three years. There's a difference between calibrating
 and bench testing.

Q. What's the difference?

- A. "Calibrating" is you just make sure that the instrumentation in place is measuring the temperature and pressure that it's supposed to be doing. And, "bench testing" actually means removing the meter from the steam line and sending it out to a calibrated -- a certified manufacturer, who then examines it and recalibrates it on their calibrated bench test system.
- Q. Would you explain how, if there's a dispute between

 Concord Steam and Concord Power about a meter reading,

 how would that dispute be resolved?
- A. The mechanics of it would be that, if there was a disagreement over what the steam amounts on that meter were, we would -- Concord Steam would do -- take a similar analysis to what it does with its existing -- all of its existing steam meters and comparing its use to in any one particular month to a previous month, and that month a year ago, and using historical data to determine if, in fact, the values there are reasonable or not. And, if they're not reasonable, then we'd go and do whatever dispute resolution is appropriate.
- Q. And, Article 19 of the Steam Purchase Agreement contains a "Dispute Resolution" clause, correct?
- A. That's correct.

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

- 1 Q. And, that provides for arbitration initially for a
 2 resolution of the dispute?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And, if you look at Article 6.2. -- or Section 6.2.6 of the Agreement, it says that "the Party disputing the meter reading or bill shall pay the undisputed amount in a timely manner and the disputed matter shall be resolved according to the terms and conditions detailed in Article 19 of the Agreement." Is that right?
- 10 A. Yes, that's right.
- 11 Q. And, when it says "the Party disputing the meter 12 reading shall pay the undisputed amount", I mean, 13 that's going to be Concord Steam, right?
- 14 A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. And, under that same provision, if it's determined that

 Concord Steam had paid too much, it would receive that

 payment back with interest, correct?
- 18 A. That's right.
- Q. All right. The next topic that I'd like to address is the price that Concord Steam is going to pay for the steam that it purchases under this agreement.
- 22 A. Okay.
- 23 Q. And, that's described in Article 7, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.

Q. And, can you just identify the components of the price of the steam?

- A. There's three primary components. There's a capacity component, an O&M component, and a fuel charge component.
- Q. All right. Let's take them one by one and walk through them.
- 8 The Capacity Charge is primarily to cover the Α. Yes. 9 debt service requirements of the project. And, it is, 10 as is all of the other charges related to all of the 11 price of steam, dollars related based on a steam sales 12 percentage. Which means, if Concord Steam takes 13 15 percent of the total steam capable of being 14 generated by that facility, then Concord Steam pays 15 15 percent of the cost of fuel, it pays 15 percent of 16 the cost of labor under operation and maintenance, and 17 it pays 15 percent of the debt service coverage of the facility. 18
 - Q. Let me stop you there. You referred to the "debt service on the project". If you go to the "Definition" section of the Steam Purchase Agreement and look at Section 1.13, --
- 23 A. Yes.

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

24 Q. -- "Debt Service Payment". Does that define, with

regard to the Capacity Charge, what that debt service payment is?

A. Yes, it does.

3

18

19

20

21

- 4 Q. And, can you walk us through that please?
- Concord Power will be entering into a long-term loan 5 6 arrangement and have certain requirements, in terms of 7 paying its debt. One of the requirements that the bank has is that the -- that there be enough profit and 8 9 coverage of cash flow from the whole project that there be a debt service coverage ratio of 1.5. That's one of 10 the requirements of the bank. So that the debt service 11 12 payment that Concord Steam would be making to Concord 13 Power is that debt service, the actual debt service payment paid to the bank, multiplied by 1.5, multiplied 14 15 by the steam sales percentage of the 15 or 13 percent, 16 whatever that percentage actually happens to work out 17 in any given year.
 - Q. If you look at that definition of "debt service payment", it has some exclusions. It excludes "capital associated with the installation of the Seller's plant's turbine generators and their subsystems".
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. Why is that?
- 24 A. Because the steam system is not taking part in any

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

revenue or benefits from the steam -- from the generation of electricity, so it's not paying for any of those capital costs. Nor is it paying for any of those operation or maintenance costs related to operation -- construction or operation of the steam turbine electrical generating portion of the project. Other than a small part of it, in that the plant will be generating all of its own electricity that it uses in-house. So, that a small amount of the power that it generates is used to drive the pumps and the fans that operate the boiler. And, so, Concord Steam will be paying a small percentage of that, of that operating cost and capital cost to cover that small percentage of It works out to about one and a half percent, actually, of the turbine generator cost, because the way it works out is the plant service, the station service, the power required to operate the facility is about 10 percent of the overall gross output of the plant. And, the steam sales percentage is 15 percent of the gross output of the plant. So, the amount of that turbine that Concord Steam has to pay for is 15 percent of 10 percent, or roughly one and a half percent.

Q. Going back to the Section 7.2 on the Capacity Charge,

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

1 that's charged on a monthly basis?

A. Yes.

- Q. And, you indicated that the Capacity Charge is equal to the debt service payment, multiplied by the steam sales percentage, multiplied by 150 percent, and then divided by the amount of steam forecast. That's only for the first 15 years of this agreement, correct?
- 8 A. That is correct.
 - Q. And, what is the length of this agreement in totality?
 - A. This is a 30 year contract, with an additional 20 year optional extension that, for the first 15 years, when the debt service is in place, that that arrangement is is in place. After, from year 16 to year 30, the capacity payment drops significantly, basically to cover -- it continues to cover reinvestment in the facility, to, as the plant gets older, it's going to have to be spending money and reinvesting money to keep it -- keep it up and operational. And, so, that's -- that's the function, a feature that we have to make sure that the facility has funds available to do that.
 - Q. Now, if you look at Section 7.2.1.4, that addresses what happens when capital improvements are necessary to the Concord Power plant and how that -- what happens to the Capacity Charge. Can you walk us through how that

1 | will work?

- A. That's in the case that there is a significant improvement that Concord Power will then want to try and recover. If, for instance, it wanted to make a major improvement to its fuel handling system, with the ultimate benefit of being able to reduce its long-term operating costs, then that additional cost of capital cost would be -- would be added to the Capacity Charge in the facility. Since, if the facility is able to reduce its fuel costs or reduce its operation and maintenance costs, Concord Power would get no benefit from that, because Concord Steam is simply paying exactly what its fuel costs are and exactly what its O&M costs are. So that, in order to allow them to make investments to improve operations, we have this Capacity Charge modification clause.
- Q. Would Concord Steam need to consent to that improvement that's made?
- 19 A. Yes, we would.
- Q. And, there is a trigger in the 7.2.1.4 of \$100,000, is that correct?
- 22 A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. Okay. And, if you look at that section on the bottom of Page 9, there's a sentence that says that the

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

"Seller shall cooperate with the Buyer to structure the
Capacity Charge in a matter that's recoverable through
the Buyer's rates consistent with applicable New
Hampshire law."

- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Do you know -- Why is that in there?
- 7 A. In order to be able to make sure that, as we ask for
 8 recovery of this capital charge through our rate base,
 9 that we don't get into issues of construction work in
 10 progress type issues, to make sure that any
 11 improvements are installed and in service before we
 12 actually ask for recovery in those rates.
- Q. And, you just referred to "base rates", but the
 Capacity Charge is going to be recovered through the
 Company's cost of energy?
- 16 A. That is correct, yes.
- Q. And, in fact, is all -- all of the payments, the price
 of the steam that the Company pays under this agreement
 are all going to be -- the Company's going to be
 seeking to recover those costs through the cost of
 energy proceeding each year, correct?
- 22 A. That's right, yes.
- 23 Q. And, that's approved by this Commission?
- 24 A. Yes.

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

- Q. All right. Let's go next to the "Operation and Maintenance Charge", in Section 7.3.
- 3 A. Okay.
- Q. That's the second component of the steam purchase price, correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. And, how -- can you walk us through what that charge consists of?
- 9 A. It consists of essentially everything that is either
 10 not debt service related or actual fuel cost related.
 11 So that it includes labor for the facility, operation
 12 and maintenance of the facility, repair parts. It also
 13 includes, for instance, the lease on the woodyard would
 14 be all wrapped into the Operation and Maintenance
 15 Charge.
- Q. And, are there any limits on what Concord Power can charge through this O&M Charge?
- 18 A. The O&M Charge, Concord Steam has the right, through
 19 this agreement, to review all of those charges that
 20 they're trying to pass on, and make sure that they're
 21 prudent and appropriate and in accordance with good
 22 industry practice.
- Q. And, actually, the 7.3 uses the term "Good Utility Practices", correct?

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

- 1 A. Yes. That's correct.
- Q. So, all of the charges that flow through this O&M

 section has to be consistent with Good Utility

 Practice, in order to be rightfully charged to Concord

 Steam?
- 6 A. Yes, they do.
- 7 Q. And, what is the time period over which those charges are determined?
- 9 It's looked at on an annual basis, as is the -- all of Α. 10 the other charges are looked over for -- over an annual 11 basis the amount of steam that's projected to be sold 12 over that year, is, at the beginning of each service 13 year, we have a sit-down and coordination, where we 14 determine how much steam is going to be sold, what the 15 approximate costs are going to be for that year, and it 16 sets -- will set the cost of steam for the year. At 17 which point we then use those costs and come to the 18 Commission for our annual Fuel Adjustment Clause that 19 we now recover.
- 20 Q. Section 7.3 states that the "O&M Charge is not to be reconciled --
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. -- with the actual costs for the past years"?
- 24 A. That's correct.

Q. Why is that?

1

- A. It's just to try and keep it a little simpler. That
 we're going to look at what they had for the past year,
- 4 say what we feel costs were prudent and reasonable.
- 5 And, if they had a significant increase or decrease in
- 6 some particular type of cost or expense, then that
- 7 would be reflected in the following year.
- 8 Q. So, if Concord Steam was looking at those costs and saw 9 something that it didn't think was appropriate, what 10 would Concord Steam do?
- 11 A. It would reject those costs as not being prudent or
 12 with Good Utility Practice.
- Q. And, is that a process that you anticipate the utility undertaking?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Okay. Let's turn next to the Fuel Charge, which is the third element of the price of steam.
- 18 A. Yes.

Α.

20

- 19 Q. Would you describe how that works?
- projection as to what the cost of fuel, the actual cost

It's similar to the others. That there will be a

- of wood fuel would be over the year, and use that to
- determine the Fuel Charge. We would have a feature in
- 24 there where, if there is some upset in the market and

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

the fuel price changes, that there would be an allowed judgment that could be -- that could be adjusted up or down within a margin on a month-to-month basis, if necessary. And, at the end of each year, their actual cost of fuel would be reconciled and defined. And, we'll look at what those actual costs of fuel were, versus the projected costs. We'll reconcile those, and any differences will be applied to the following year in the Fuel Charge calculation.

Q. And, this is all based on the cost of wood?

- A. Yes, primarily. There's -- What we expect to be in this is the cost of wood. There is a small charge in that Fuel Charge that would be a small amount of natural gas. And, any fees related to procuring the wood would be included in that Fuel Charge.
- Q. Do you have any sense for Concord Power's ability to negotiate wood purchases at a more favorable price than Concord Steam currently, given the amount of wood is going to be significantly higher?
- A. There's a couple of reasons why the Concord Power should be able to provide wood, procure wood at a better -- under better conditions than Concord Steam can now. Concord Steam now is very cyclical. We need a lot of wood in the winter and we don't -- we hardly

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

need any wood at all in the summer. And, that is not necessarily when the better logging is. The better logging and the production of that wood tends to be in the summer and fall, and that's not when we need the wood.

Now, when Concord Power starts operating, it's going to be base loaded. It will have a much more consistent requirement for fuel, and so that loggers can be -- can rely on being able to continue to supply the facility year-round. It's just not the case with Concord Steam now. We often shut the wood suppliers off in the spring, and don't start purchasing again until late summer.

- Q. Do you have any concerns about Concord Power's ability to procure the wood? I mean, is there enough supply out there available?
- A. Yes. Yes, there's ample supply. We've had a number of fuel studies done, and there's certainly adequate supply of fuel available for the amounts that we're talking about.
- Q. And, that wood supply that Concord Power would purchase would be stored at the woodyard that currently is leased to Concord Steam?
- A. That is correct, yes.

- Q. And, is there ample space there for the wood supply?
- A. Yes, there is. There's roughly 30 acres of space there. Concord Steam presently stores up to 15,000 tons of wood on the site, and uses only a small part of the property that's available. That site should be able to store 60 or 70,000 tons very easily without any problem at all.
- Q. You indicated that there's a small portion of natural gas which is included in this calculation of the Fuel Charge?
- 11 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 12 | Q. What is that used for?
- 13 Α. There will be certain times during the year when 14 Concord Power will need to shut down the wood-fired 15 boiler and the wood system to do maintenance and 16 general upkeep and repair. So that we expect there 17 will be a certain amount of natural gas that will have 18 to be bought and fired in the back-up boilers. 19 order to provide an incentive to Concord Power to not 20 just simply turn on the gas boilers whenever they want, 21 we're going to be limiting the amount of gas that we're 22 willing to pay for. And, that we will pay for a set 23 amount of gas that is going to be defined on a couple 24 of scheduled shutdown periods in the spring and in the

to purchase a certain amount of gas at that time. If
Concord Power is able to stay on line more and burn
less gas, then that's to their benefit. If Concord
Power has more problems, has outages, has problems
securing wood, has problems securing -- keeping the
wood equipment running, then that's their problem, and
we're only paying a certain of amount of gas. That
just let's us -- let's Concord Steam not have to try
and dictate to Concord Power when they're allowed to
turn those gas-fired boilers on or not. It gives a
little more flexibility to them, and it gives us a
defined set, so we know just how much we're responsible
for and no more than that.

- Q. And, when you referred to the "scheduled maintenance periods", Section 2.3 of the Agreement has a section on "Planned Outages" versus "Unplanned Outages". Are those "planned outages" what you're referring to?
- A. Yes.

- Q. And, would Concord Steam get notice of those, of those planned maintenance outages?
 - A. Yes. Yes, it would. And, there's, you know, what

 Concord Power considers an outage is likely to be when
 their wood-fired boiler is not operational and they're

51

not making electricity. That doesn't necessarily mean

it's an outage for Concord Steam, because it's got

multiple boilers there. It has -- It's a single plant,

with multiple boilers. And, the wood boiler may go

down, but they'll just simply fire the gas-fired

boilers as necessary to provide us steam.

- 7 Q. Can the back-up boilers, can they run on either gas or wood?
- 9 A. The back-up boilers are only capable of burning natural 10 gas. And, they could burn oil, if we wanted to convert 11 them to oil.
- Q. Section 2.3.3 addresses "unplanned outages". What happens if there's an unplanned outage at Concord Power?
 - A. If there's an unplanned outage, the Seller notifies

 Concord Steam as soon as possible. And, they're to do

 everything in their power to minimize the length of

 that outage.
- Q. Okay. And, actually, that section says that Concord
 Steam gets, you know, they have to be notified within
 at least two hours of an outage?
- 22 A. That's correct, yes. Yes.

15

16

17

18

Q. And, there's a requirement that Concord Power communicate with Concord Steam during that outage about

1 | what's happening, correct?

A. That's right. Yes. So, they will need time to assess the situation, determine what needs to be done, and how long it's likely to be down. And, Concord Steam would certainly work with them to resolve whatever issues might be.

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

- Q. And, who bears the financial risk of those unplanned outages?
- 9 A. Concord Power does.
- 10 Q. Okay. And, that's in Section 2.3.3, correct?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. That last sentence that says "No expenses incurred by
 13 Seller for such purposes shall be included as part of
 14 any adjustment to the charges to Buyer under this
 15 Agreement, it being the Parties' intention that all
 16 such remedial costs shall be borne solely by the
 17 Seller."
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. What happens if Concord Power is not able to meet the steam requirements of Concord Steam?
- A. There are -- There's a feature -- a paragraph in here
 that requires the Concord Power to supply steam, or, if
 it cannot supply steam, then to pay for the cost of any
 replacement steam.

53

```
Q. So, if you have to fire up those back-up boilers and use gas, which happens to be more expensive at the time, --
```

- A. That's correct, yes.
- 5 Q. -- will Concord Power pay the difference?
- 6 A. Yes, it would.

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

24

- Q. And, what happens if Concord Steam needs -- I mean, is it possible that Concord Steam could need more steam than Concord Power will be capable of generating?
- 10 It is unlikely, because of the capacity available at Α. the new facility, that we'd ever -- that Concord Steam 11 12 would ever require more steam than what was capable. 13 But we do have quantities in there that Concord Power 14 is responsible for supplying all of the steam that we 15 It's also -- But there are limits in terms of 16 how much steam that they have to supply to us at the --17 under the costs and terms of this condition. That, if 18 we, if Concord Steam, if we require more steam, where 19 our steam load increases, if we have a large steam 20 increase, and it goes above those set amounts, then 21 that incremental amount of steam Concord Power would 22 charge Concord Steam in its Fuel Charge if the cost --23 as if that steam was generated by natural gas.

And, is that the -- the Agreement refers to "Excess

Steam" in Section 7.5, is that what you're referring to?

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

54

- 3 A. Yes. Yes.
- Q. Is Concord Steam required to give any regular notice to
 Concord Power about how much steam it anticipates it
 will need?
- A. Yes. It will -- At the beginning of each service year,

 Concord Steam will let Concord Power know how much

 steam it needs for the year, as well as what its

 approximate monthly requirements will be. And, if

 those -- if there's a significant change in those, then

 Concord Steam has to notify Concord Power as to what

 those changes are.
 - Q. If you would look at Section 7.1, which is the pricing provision of the contract. There's some language, the last two sentences refer to "payments, credits, or other benefits that have economic value to the Seller"
- 19 A. Yes.

14

15

16

17

- Q. -- and those being "applied to reduce the price of steam that's charged to the Buyer"?
- 22 A. That's right.
- Q. Can you explain what this provision is intended to cover?

- 1 Α. In the Renewable Portfolio Standard that the New 2 Hampshire Legislature passed, there is a provision in 3 there for Renewable Energy Credits for the provision of 4 renewable electricity, and certain payments that are 5 appropriate that those have to be covered. 6 also mention in there of a possible thermal Renewable 7 Energy Credit. If there is a -- If that ever comes to 8 fruition that there is, in fact, a thermal energy 9 credit, or if there is a greenhouse gas credit, or some 10 other type of attribute that is attributable to the 11 thermal portion of the product, then those revenues are 12 directly applied to the sale of Concord Steam, and it goes to reduce the price of the steam that Concord 13 14 Steam purchases.
 - Q. Are there any changes, when we went over the Fuel Charge, which is in Section 7.4, are there any changes to the calculation of the Fuel Charge that need to be made?
 - A. There are some wording changes and some minor changes that are, just in the past 24 hours, that Staff -- the Commission Staff has found and pointed out that we're going to have to make some corrections to the document as it now sits.
 - Q. Can you tell us what those are?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

- A. There's a formula, actually, in the definitions under 1.20, that refers to a calculation. And, the formula actually calculates Btus, but we refer to the output of that formula in millions of Btus, so that there's a little two decimal points there that have to be adjusted. And, then, there's some wording in 7.4.1, under "Determination of Steam Sales Percentage", there's some reference there to "calendar year" that needs to be revised to "service year". Everywhere else in this contract we refer to "service year" and not "calendar year". So, --
- 12 Q. And, what's the service year?
- 13 A. The service year, as defined in this document, is
 14 November 1 to October 31.
 - Q. Okay. Are there other changes to that Section 7.4.1?
- 16 There's one paragraph that, in order to -- so Α. 17 that this determination of steam sales percentages 18 agrees with the definition as it was defined in the 19 "Definition" section, there's a paragraph that needs to 20 be modified from how much, in terms of determining the 21 steam sales percentage, it's the capacity, the 22 capability of the output of the wood-fired boiler, as 23 opposed to what the actual output of the wood-fired 24 boiler was, and it just needs to be clarified.

Q. Can you identify what, I'm looking at Page 11, --

A. On Page 11, where it says, under "Determination of the Steam Sales Percentage", and it begins "Where", and then there's H, sub B, that paragraph is going to be modified to say basically everything after "produced in the wood-fired boiler", that will be "period" or "semicolon", and everything after that will be stricken. And, added in front of the word "produced" will be "capable of being". So that we're saying that "the total quantity of steam, measured in MMBtu, capable of being produced in the wood-fired boiler."

MS. KNOWLTON: Chairman, the Company would like to be able to provide the Commission with, after the hearing, a version of the agreement that contains the changes that Mr. Bloomfield has just referenced, I think so that it's clear what the final agreement is that the Staff and the Company are proposing.

CHAIRMAN GETZ: Let's reserve "Exhibit Number 3" for a revised copy of the Agreement showing what the changes were relative to the filed Agreement.

(Exhibit 3 reserved)

MS. KNOWLTON: Would you like those changes indicated in redline, so that the Commission could see where we --

1 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Yes.

MS. KNOWLTON: Okay. Thank you.

- 3 BY MS. KNOWLTON:
- 4 Q. Mr. Bloomfield, in that next paragraph, "HS", it refers

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

- 5 to an Appendix A?
- 6 A. That is correct. That's also --
- 7 Q. What is that?
- 8 A. That's a drawing that we have provided to Staff. It's
- 9 a full-size drawing, and we're going to need to provide
- a simplified version of that as to -- and add that to
- 11 this, this Appendix A has not been attached and it
- 12 needs to be.
- 13 Q. And, what does that show?
- 14 A. It shows how and where the steam is being metered in
- Concord Power's facility, as to where those meters are
- located and as to what steam flows are being measured
- for what purpose.
- 18 Q. If you would look at Section 8.3 of the Agreement,
- which is the "termination" provisions.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. You indicated that this Agreement has a term of 30
- 22 years.
- 23 A. That's correct.
- 24 Q. Pretty long. Would Concord Steam be able to terminate

59 Bloomfield] [WITNESS:

> 1 this Agreement under any circumstances?

2 Α. Yes.

10

11

12

13

17

18

20

- 3 Q. What would those be?
- 4 Α. Any material breach of terms, any bankruptcy, force 5 majeure type situation. And, also, this contract is 6 very much one way in the respect that it obligates 7 Concord Power to supply steam to Concord Steam, but it 8 does not obligate Concord Steam from buying steam from 9 Concord Power.
 - Q. So, the Agreement could essentially remain in place, and Concord Steam can purchase steam or self-generate, purchase steam through another source or self-generate, but the Agreement wouldn't necessarily terminate?
- 14 That's correct. Α.
- 15 So, you could -- the utility could decide, if it was Q. 16 going to self-generate for some period of time, to then go back to Concord Power at some later time and say "as long as you're still within the term of the Agreement, 19 we're back. We want to purchase our steam requirements"?
- 21 Α. That's correct.
- 22 Q. And, in this "Termination" section, there's -- I would 23 just note there's a Section 8.3.5, and this is the 24 provision that gives Concord Steam the ability to go in

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

- and access those back-up boilers, in the event of a termination of the contract, correct?
- 3 A. Yes, that's right.
- 4 Q. But that would be if you were -- if the utility were to
- 5 remove them, that would be at the utility's expense,
- 6 correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. If you look at Article 13 of the Agreement,
- 9 Representations, Warranties and Covenants".
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. There's a Section 13.1.4. Do you know what this
- section is intended to cover? Do you need regulatory
- 13 approvals --
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. You've indicated that you have -- the utility has the
- necessary regulatory approvals, you know, --
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. -- in order to enter into this Agreement?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. And, so, is it your understanding that that's, in part,
- 21 | why we're here today, because the Company can't enter
- into this Agreement until it has the necessary
- 23 approvals from the Commission?
- 24 A. That is correct. And, once, if we get approval from

61

the Commission on this, we will execute this agreement and sign it.

- Q. And, you plan to provide the Commission with a copy of this Agreement once it's executed?
- 5 A. Yes, we do.

3

4

15

16

17

- Q. And, what is the Company's planned timing, as far as execution of the Steam Purchase Agreement?
- A. It would need to be executed before close of a financing. So, sometime between Commission approval and close on a financing.
- 11 Q. And, why does it need to be, I mean, I know that
 12 there's this language in here that you have the
 13 regulatory approvals, but why does the utility want to
 14 get this signed before the financing is finalized?
 - A. Just to make sure that the Commission agrees that the terms of this contract are prudent and that the costs are recoverable through our rate case.
- Q. And, in the Settlement Agreement, let's just look at
 that for a minute, the Settlement Agreement, which is
 Exhibit 1 here today, in Section 5, addresses the Steam
 Purchase Agreement, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And, in that I'm looking at, in Section 5(a), indicates that the Staff and the Company have agreed that,

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

subject, of course, to Commission approval, that the

Company would be entitled to recover the costs incurred

under the Steam Purchase Agreement through that annual

cost of energy proceeding, right?

5 A. Yes.

- 6 Q. But subject to the prudence review of the Commission?
- 7 A. That's right, yes.
 - Q. What happens if there is a modification to the Steam

 Purchase Agreement after it's signed?
 - A. If there's a modification that affects costs, charges that affects the steam -- price of steam, those changes will be presented to the -- submitted to the Commission for approval, for their review. There could be changes that are -- that are not relative to cost issues that might be changed that would not necessarily be submitted to Commission for approval, but it would be submitted to them certainly for their information.
 - Q. And, if you look at Section 5(c) of the Settlement

 Agreement, that first -- excuse me, the second sentence
 says "Any modifications of the Agreement are subject to

 RSA Chapter 366." Is your understanding that that's
 the affiliate -- utility affiliate statute?
- 23 A. Yes.
 - Q. And, right now, Concord Steam and Concord Power are

1 affiliates, correct?

- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. And, that's because there's common ownership?
- 4 A. That's right.

Q. And, so, is it your understanding that that statute that governs affiliate agreements would apply?

- 7 A. That's correct, yes.
 - Q. Now, you've stated that the Commission -- the Company anticipates coming before the Commission, you know, once the plant is up and running, the Company would come before the Commission and seek recovery of the steam purchase charges that it incurs under the Steam Purchase Agreement through its annual cost of energy charge, and that the Commission would have the right to review the prudence of those charges that the Company is seeking recovery of. How is Concord Steam or are there sufficient protections that would allow Concord Steam to provide the Staff of the Commission with information that it needed to assess the prudency of those charges?
 - A. Yes. There's -- Part of the conditions of the Steam

 Purchase Agreement require Concord Power to provide -
 open its books and records to Concord Steam for review

 and examination as necessary.

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

Q. And, are the records relating to the operation of the Concord Power plant also open to Concord Steam?

A. Yes. Yes.

3

14

15

16

- Q. So, if the Commission Staff comes and asks for information to verify or has questions about charges that are flowing through the Steam Purchase Agreement, is Concord Steam going to go to Concord Power and get that information?
- 9 A. Yes, we would.
- 10 Q. Concord Steam currently has about how many employees?
- 11 A. There's approximately 17 or 18 employees.
- 12 Q. Do you anticipate that they would all continue to be employed by Concord Steam?
 - A. No. Concord Steam, once it enters -- once it starts purchasing all of its steam and becomes more of a distribution system, Concord Steam will probably have four, maybe five employees.
- 18 Q. I believe, when the Company filed the Verified
 19 Petition, it indicated that there was going to be a
 20 service company that would employ the employees that
 21 were necessary to run essentially the Concord Power
 22 plant, and then also operate and maintain the Concord
 23 Steam distribution system.
- 24 A. Yes.

Q. Has that changed?

- A. Yes. In the process of working with Staff, we decided that it really didn't make sense to have a service
- 4 company, because the Concord Steam employees are people
- 5 who working in the distribution system that are not
- 6 working in the plant, and it -- having a service
- 7 company just kind of complicates issues and it's not
- 8 necessary. If there's a need for temporary use of
- 9 employees in either one direction or the other, if the
- 10 steam plant needs a welder or if the steam utility
- needs some assistance, then those employees can be
- 12 hired as if it would be any outside contractor
- essentially. And, because Concord Power will have its
- own salaries and its own employees, and Concord Steam
- will have its own employees, rather than try and
- 16 complicate it with a service agreement.
- 17 Q. And, would there be a way to track those costs?
- 18 A. Yes. Yes.
- 19 Q. How?

1

2

- 20 A. Similar to the way we do with any outside contractor.
- If we need to hire a welder, welding crews to do work
- on our steam system, we hire an outside contractor. It
- 23 would be the same type of thing. The hours are tracked
- and hours are billed for.

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

Q. Would those costs be charged through the Steam Purchase Agreement?

- A. No. No, they would be charged as a separate contract, separate, you know, as if you were going to hire somebody from Labor Ready or hire somebody from a welding company.
- Q. And, so, those would be recovered through the Company's base rates?
- 9 A. That's correct, yes.

3

4

5

6

17

18

- 10 Q. So, as I understand it, then most of the employees that
 11 are currently employed by Concord Steam are going to
 12 become Concord Power employees at some point in time?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- Q. Do you anticipate that there would be any significant change to their wages or their benefit packages as a result of that change?
 - A. No, we expect that the wages and benefits to be very similar to what's now being offered.
- 19 Q. I think you have outlined sort of in general terms how
 20 the transition would work from Concord Steam to Concord
 21 Power. But can you talk about that in a little bit
 22 more detail in terms of, you know, the assurances that
 23 Concord Steam would have that Concord Power is actually
 24 ready to supply steam?

A. Concord Power will need to let us know and prove to us
that it's capable of providing steam on a consistent
and reliable basis before we actually shut this plant
down and go and turn entirely over to Concord Steam -Concord Power's source.

- Q. And, is there any obligation in the Steam Purchase
 Agreement that they provide any kind of certification
 to Concord Steam that that capability exists?
- 9 A. Yes. Yes, they do.
- 10 Q. And, will Concord Steam be verifying that?
- 11 A. And, Concord Steam would verify that to make sure it
 12 was the case.
- 13 Q. You indicated that the lease -- that the Concord
 14 Steam's lease expires I think you said "August of
 15 2010"?
- 16 A. That's correct.

20

21

22

23

- 17 Q. But it sounds like the Concord Power plant is not going
 18 to be on line at that point. What happens with your
 19 lease with the State?
 - A. We have had discussions with the Staff, and they are actually kind of waiting on us, actually, to sit down with them for a change and talk about those terms.

 And, once we can more closely define when the -- what

24 the dates are, as to, you know, when we would actually

expect to leave this facility, and move the generation to the new facility, we'll sit down with the State and work that out. There's a whole lot of issues that we need to work out with the State relative to this campus. They want us to continue to supply the campus with steam. In that arrangement, we'll take over ownership of the distribution system on the campus to continue to maintain that. And, so, there's some transfer of issues of not just the lease, but there's other long-term supply issues and contract issues that,

once we have a target date, we'll be able to sit down

Q. Do you anticipate -- Do you have any concerns about

Concord Steam's ability to stay on the premises and use

it for the manufacture of steam during that time

period?

with them and define those, those terms.

- A. No. No. We've had conversations with folks in the State, and they're very understanding as to what we're trying to do and what needs to get done, that the last thing they want to do is try and have to operate that plant and try and heat the Governor's Office downtown.
 - Q. And, when you say "people of the State", which arm of the State government?
 - A. The Administrative Services folks are primarily the

ones we deal with.

- Q. And, if the Commission were interested in being apprised of the status of those discussions, would the Company be amenable to notifying the Commission of that?
- 6 A. Absolutely.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

14

15

16

- Q. When would the Company, you may have said this already,
 I apologize, but when would the Company intend to file
 for a base rate case to change its rates?
- 10 A. We expect to file for new base rates early in 2010, 11 probably in approximately a year from now.
- Q. What is the projected impact on the base rates of this transaction?
 - A. The base rates would drop probably just almost in half.

 The overall rates would expect to reduce in probably about 30 percent or so from what is presently being charged.
- Q. I want to shift now from the Steam Purchase Agreement to the other part of the Petition, which asks that the Commission approve the transfer of those utility assets that are identified on Exhibit B to the Petition. Does the Settlement Agreement address the transfer of those assets?
- 24 A. Yes.

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

- 1 Q. What did the Staff and the Company agree to?
- 2 A. That it's consistent with the public good and that it should -- it should be approved.
- 4 Q. And, when would that \$1.5 million in cash be paid to Concord Steam?
- A. I would expect it to be paid over the period of time as the equipment is being transferred, mostly probably in 2010 is when I would expect that to happen.
- 9 Q. What are the proceeds of that sale going to be used 10 for?
- 11 A. The million and a half dollars would be used for
 12 providing equity to support the loan that Concord Steam
 13 needs to get in order to pay for the back-up boilers
 14 and the new steam line and the steam line improvements.
- 15 Q. Do you anticipate that Concord Steam would be coming to 16 the Commission seeking approval of that financing?
- 17 A. Yes, we would.
- 18 Q. Does the Settlement Agreement provide for any improvements to the steam distribution system?
- 20 A. Yes, it does.
- 21 Q. Would you describe that?
- A. We're looking at re-insulating some sections of steam
 line that the insulation has deteriorated significantly
 over the past 15 or 20 years. And, we'll be

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

```
1 re-insulating those sections of line in place.
```

- 2 Q. And, specifically, the Company has agreed that it would
- install about 6,800 feet of new 16-inch steam pipe?
- 4 A. 6,600 feet.
- 5 Q. 6,600?
- 6 A. 6,600 feet of new steam line to connect from our
- 7 existing distribution system to the new steam plant.
- 8 Q. Okay. The Settlement Agreement, in Section 7(a), says
- 9 "6,800". Is that a typo?
- 10 A. Sixty-eight could very well be right.
- 11 Q. Okay.
- 12 A. We haven't exactly designed and defined the exact route
- and length of the pipe. So, 68 could very well be
- 14 right.
- 15 Q. And, when would that be constructed?
- 16 A. That would be constructed to be timed so that it would
- be finished and ready for service at the same time that
- the new steam plant is also ready for service. So
- that, if the new steam plant is going to be ready for
- operation in early winter 2011, we'd probably finish
- 21 that steam line up the fall of 2010.
- 22 Q. And, the Company has also agreed that it would
- re-insulate some pipe in its current distribution
- 24 system?

1 A. Yes.

- Q. Would the Commission have the ability to review the

 costs that the Company incurred to re-insulate the pipe

 and to construct the connection to the Concord Power

 plant?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And, when would that occur?
- A. The re-insulation is -- would probably occur -- would like to do that as soon as we can actually. It could possibly happen in 2009. It would depend again on, primarily, on financing.
- Q. And, has Staff and the Company agreed with regard to the prudence of those planned improvements?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. And, the Company would be seeking recovery of those costs of the improvements through the base rate case?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- Q. That's all I have on the Settlement Agreement, Mr.

 Bloomfield. Oh, actually, let me just ask you. Do you

 believe that overall the Settlement Agreement is

 reasonable and in the public interest?
- 22 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Are you familiar with a letter that was filed with the Commission that criticizes the proposed transaction?

A. Yes.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 2 Q. Have you -- you reviewed that letter?
- 3 A. I have.
- Q. Do you have any comments with regard to the points that were raised by the anonymous correspondent of that

6 letter?

Α. Yes. The letter states a number of different facts that are not necessarily incorrect, but they're out of context and misleading as a result. It kind of picks and chooses different things. It references, for instance, that we pay \$4 a million Btu for our fuel, our wood fuel, and then we go ahead and charge \$27 a million for that steam. And, it's true that we pay \$4, \$4 a million Btu for the wood that we purchase. the wood that we purchase is raw wood chips. So that we have to convert that wood to steam. There's a loss of -- loss of energy in that, that's not 100 percent efficient, so that that converts that dollars per million Btu from roughly \$4 to \$7. Steam, as we use it in our -- we need steam to make steam basically. gets used in the steam plant, so that our net cost to our steam going out, that can -- increases it more, to around \$8.50. Then, there's line losses and other general losses, and that increases it to about \$12.

And, the fact that wood is only -- only a part of the fuel mix that we have. We also burn roughly 30 percent fossil fuel. And, the raw cost of that fossil fuel is

over \$18 a million Btu. You know, so that it's

5 misleading to, you know, use those two numbers.

There's another comment in there that talks about \$700,000 in administrative costs. Well, in 2007, in our Annual Report, our administrative and general was \$664,000. Of that, there's \$175,000 of health insurance for employees. There's \$90,000 of insurance costs, legal, accounting, regulatory

Commission expenses, transportation expenses. You know, there's a lot of other things in there other than, you know, and the implication is that we're taking that as our salaries, and that's by no means anywhere close to that. So, --

- 17 Q. I think -- sorry. Are you finished or --
- 18 A. Yes.

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

24

- Q. I think the letter also criticizes the rates that the
 Company has charged and expresses a point of view that,
 over the past 20 years, the rates have been I think
 "egregious" might have been the word that was used. Do
 you agree with that statement?
 - A. The rates have been carefully vetted by Company and

75

```
1
          Staff, and they're higher than perhaps anybody would
 2
          like, but it's the nature of things, we'd certainly
 3
          like to be able to make it less expensive, but it
          hasn't been able to be done. It's certainly not
 4
 5
          egregious.
 6
          But that's why we're here today, right?
 7
     Α.
          That's right.
 8
     Ο.
          To get the rates down?
          That's right.
     Α.
10
     Q.
          And, the Company has participated and opened its books
11
          and records to the Audit Staff of the Commission,
12
          correct?
13
     Α.
          That's correct.
14
          And, the rates that the Company charges are rates that
15
          have been approved by this Commission, correct?
16
     Α.
          Yes.
17
                         MS. KNOWLTON:
                                         I have nothing further
18
       for Mr. Bloomfield.
19
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. Let's go off
20
       the record for a minute.
21
                          (Brief off-the-record discussion
22
                         ensued.)
23
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: So, let's go back on the
```

At this point, we'll recess for lunch, and

24

record.

Okay.

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

then we'll pick up with cross-examination by Mr. Damon,
and then questions by the Bench. And, so, let's resume at
1:00.

(Whereupon the lunch recess was taken at 12:05 p.m. and the hearing reconvened at 1:07 p.m.)

CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Good afternoon. We're back on the record in docket DG 08-107. And, we will resume with cross-examination as soon as we get a witness. Mr. Damon.

MR. DAMON: Thank you. Good afternoon,

Mr. Bloomfield.

WITNESS BLOOMFIELD: Good afternoon.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

15 BY MR. DAMON:

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

- 16 Q. I wanted to ask you a few more questions about the current status of the project.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. You mentioned this morning that you or the landowner -20 you or the landowner, I guess you are the landowner at
 21 the plant site, right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Okay. Are there any other owners?
- 24 A. It's just us. It's P&M Realty, right.

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

- 1 Q. And, that's just you?
- 2 A. Yes.

6

- Q. Okay. And, you mentioned that you have cleaned up some asbestos and some hazardous waste.
- 5 A. That's right.
 - Q. Could you describe that in more detail?
- 7 The site is a brownfield site. It's been a railroad Α. 8 yard actually since the 1840s and '50s. It was a --9 It's been the primary -- It was the primary rail 10 yard/car shop area for B&M Railroad from the 1880s, to 11 around 1950. And, during that time, they built all the 12 structures there. They had -- They built and repaired 13 and maintained all of their freight cars and their 14 passenger cars, as well as their locomotives, it was 15 their primary shops. And, so, it was sold by B&M in 16 the 1950s and became a light industrial park. But, as 17 it's essentially had industrial use for 150 years or 18 thereabouts, it's considered a "brownfield". So, we 19 went through an environmental assessment of the 20 property. And, it was determined that there was 21 certain amounts of asbestos and lead paint above 22 ground, in terms of what was involved with pipe 23 insulation, shingles, siding, all that kind of stuff 24 that was there on the property. And, then, there's

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

also -- there was a question as to what was below ground, and whether there was water contamination or soil contamination and what would happen if we started to disturb that. So, we went through a complete site investigation, environmental investigation, and remediated all of the asbestos and above-ground hazardous waste that was there. And, the below-ground analysis and studies determined that there was no water contamination of the site, but that there was some very specific spots of some soil contamination, and that there were high levels of lead and I think chromium in a couple of spots. Anyway, those spots were defined and mapped, and that was all kind of the process of the remediation process. What has been done has been that some of the buildings have been demolished and the above-ground -- all the above-ground waste has been removed.

- Q. Okay. So, is that part of the construction work to be done basically completed?
- A. Yes. There's still small amounts left to be done, but,
 yes. There's some in-ground concrete, for instance,
 that has to be taken up and that kind of thing.
 - Q. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

A. But pretty much it's been substantially done, yes.

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

- 1 Q. The environmental remediation is substantially done?
- 2 A. Yes, that's right.
- Q. And, if my notes were correct, I think you testified that approximately \$3 million has been invested in the
- 5 project already?
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. And, what does that \$3 million consist of? Presumably, it includes the environmental remediation to date, but what other items?
- A. Engineering, permitting, property purchase, equipment purchase, equipment evaluation, all the environmental work, that type of general development work that we've been working to get to date. The interconnection costs, we had to do an interconnection study with the utility, those kinds of costs.
- Q. Okay. So, it's -- the \$3 million includes more than just the land-related costs?
- 18 A. Oh, yes. Yes.
- 19 Q. It's the overall project cost as well?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Could you estimate about how much of the costs
 would be land-related? And, by that, I'm talking about
 the acquisition cost, permit -- land permitting costs,
 environmental remediation costs?

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

- 1 Α. That will -- well, the total cost of the property is 2 going to be approaching \$3 million. There's -- The 3 \$3 million I was mentioning was actually not including 4 some additional loans and stuff that we're taking out, 5 so that actually pushes it up to \$4 million of money 6 that we've spent on this project. So, the land 7 purchase and remediation, that type of thing, is pretty 8 close to \$3 million at this point.
- 9 Q. Okay. And, you mentioned that you are an owner of Concord Steam Corporation?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. And, are there any other shareholders in Concord Steam?
- 13 A. In Concord Steam? Yes, Mark Saltsman is.
- 14 Q. And, can you remind us what --
- 15 A. It's roughly a 80 percent/20 percent split.
- 16 Q. Okay. So, you control Concord Steam?
- 17 A. 80 percent, yes.
- CHAIRMAN GETZ: Gentlemen, Mr. Patnaude
 may be having a tough time. We need to have one person
 speak at a time.
- MR. DAMON: And, I'll slow down.
- 22 BY MR. DAMON:
- Q. Now, you mentioned that I believe that you have an ownership interest in Concord Power?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. Who are the other owners, if anyone?
- 3 A. Mark Saltsman, at this point.
- 4 Q. And, what are your relative shares?
- 5 A. I believe it's 50/50.

of the Company.

6 Q. Okay.

10

- A. We don't -- Like we say, we certainly don't expect that
 to continue, as we're going to have to get outside
 investors that expect to end up taking the lion share
- Q. Right. And, just so the record is clear, BS & Chips owns the land out at the woodyard. And, again, are you and Mr. Saltsman owners of that?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. And, your relative ownership shares in that?
- 16 A. Is also 50/50.
- 17 Q. You mentioned that "essentially all the permits have been obtained". By "essentially" what do you mean?
- 19 A. What hasn't been completed?
- 20 Q. Yes.
- 21 A. We have to -- We have filed for a permit, for instance,
 22 that is required, because we have an industrial use of
 23 water and we're discharging water to a sewer system, we
 24 have to get -- we've applied for that permit, and we

actually applied to the City, and then the City reviews

it and applies to the State, if necessary. There's

also been a Water Withdrawal -- a River Water

Withdrawal Permit that's been applied that hasn't been

achieved yet.

- Q. Okay. What is the Water Withdrawal Permit -- why is that necessary?
- 8 Α. One of the sources of water that we're planning on 9 using for makeup water to the facility is river water 10 Presently, Concord Steam uses City water. makeup. 11 That the City does not want us to use City water at the 12 new facility, because, for a number of reasons, as much 13 of anything, that it's kind of a valuable resource that 14 they don't want to get used up in an industrial 15 process. So, we have some alternatives to City water, 16 and that's river water withdrawal, which is -- it's 17 almost a certainty that we will get. And, we're also 18 -- Concord Power is going to be investigating a couple 19 of other options as back-up supply as well.
 - Q. Okay. And, the river water, is that Merrimack River?
- 21 A. Yes.

20

6

7

- 22 Q. Is that a permit that you need to get from the State?
- 23 A. That's through the State, yes.
- 24 | Q. And, the water-related discharge permit, is that a

1 permit that you get from the State or the City?

A. That's actually a permit that the City gets from the State. We apply to the City, and give that information to the City. And, since it's actually in their control, they receive the material from us, but they're the ones that discharge into the river, they have to, if necessary, have to modify their discharge permits.

MS. KNOWLTON: Mr. Bloomfield, I'd like to ask you to, when Mr. Damon asks you a question, make sure he has a chance to finish it before you start answering, because you've gone over each other a couple of times.

BY MR. DAMON:

- Q. Do you expect any difficulties in obtaining these two permits?
- A. No. No, we don't. They have been well along the process of having applied for, and all indications are that neither of them are going to be an issue.
- Q. This morning in your testimony you mentioned that the total overall cost of the project is estimated to be "\$70 million". And, I'd like to find out more specifically, does that consist of the land acquisition costs, in addition to the construction costs?
- A. That does not include land acquisition costs at this

1 point.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 2 Q. So, that \$3 million that you mentioned is not part of that?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- Okay. But it does include the construction and engineering cost to design and construct the new plant?
- 7 A. Yes, that's right.
- 9 Q. Is the entire \$70 million Concord Power's costs or does that include some of Concord Steam's costs?
- 10 A. That does not include any of Concord Steam's costs.
 - Q. Do you have an estimate of Concord Steam's costs that would be necessary to incur in order to carry into effect this plan to --
 - A. Yes. We have put some estimates together at this point that are a combination, we're looking at a total combination of costs that we're expecting to incur over the next couple of years, that includes the purchase of those back-up boilers, the installation of the underground connecting steam line, and the re-insulating of existing steam lines, plus associated costs. And, we're estimating that to be in the neighborhood of \$6 million.
 - Q. You mentioned that you expected to obtain debt financing for about 80 percent of the project costs.

So, if my math is right, and I'm using the right base, the loan would then be for about \$56 million?

- A. That's about right, yes.
- Q. Okay. But that 56 million doesn't include the financing for the land costs that are not included in the \$70 million?
- 7 A. That's correct.

3

8

9

10

11

21

22

- Q. So, if you need to have equity and an equity investment of approximately 20 percent, I think that would leave a balance of about \$14 million to be supplied by equity investors?
- 12 A. That's right, yes.
- 13 Q. Do you have those equity investors on board?
- A. We are -- have not completely committed to an equity
 investor, but we are in the final negotiations. What's
 complicated it somewhat is the new Stimulus, Federal
 Stimulus package, and what's involved in that, and how
 that affects the equity participation, so that final
 contracts and agreements have not -- haven't been
 finalized yet.
 - Q. Okay. And, who are are you negotiating with for that equity investment?
- 23 A. It's --

MS. KNOWLTON: Mr. Bloomfield, before

| 1 | you answer that question, I just want to make sure that | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | I don't know whether that information is confidential or | | |
| 3 | not? | | |
| 4 | WITNESS BLOOMFIELD: It's not public | | |
| 5 | knowledge at this time. | | |
| 6 | MS. KNOWLTON: Would we be able to mark | | |
| 7 | this portion of the transcript confidential? | | |
| 8 | MR. DAMON: Yes, that's fine with me. | | |
| 9 | Sure. | | |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. We will treat the | | |
| 11 | potential equity investors' identities as confidential. | | |
| 12 | MS. KNOWLTON: Thank you. | | |
| 13 | BY THE WITNESS: | | |
| 14 | A | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | • | | |
| 19 | MR. DAMON: Okay. Thank you. I think | | |
| 20 | that's all the questions of a confidential nature that I | | |
| 21 | hope to ask. But, if I ask another one, I'll stop as | | |
| 22 | well. | | |
| 23 | BY MR. DAMON: | | |
| 24 | Q. Do you expect that equity investment to be made at or | | |

```
1
          about the time that the debt financing is obtained?
 2
     Α.
                The typical, in terms of how the bank has told us
 3
          that this is structured, would be that, as funds are
 4
          distributed for the construction, that they expect
 5
          equity funds to be distributed at an equal percentage.
 6
          So that, if there's a drawdown of $10 million, they
 7
          would expect 80 percent of that to be debt and
 8
          20 percent of that to be equity.
 9
     Q.
10
11
12
13
14
     Α.
15
16
                         MR. DAMON: I'm willing to --
17
                         MS. KNOWLTON: Yes, if it's possible,
18
       I'd like to have that portion of the transcript designated
19
       "confidential" as well, because the terms of the equity
20
       investment are still subject to negotiation with the
21
       potential investor, and I wouldn't want that to be
22
       compromised.
23
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: It hardly seems like the
24
       description that he gave on the record is something of a
```

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

confidential nature that there may be a possible equity infusion in exchange for participation in the Company.

MS. KNOWLTON: I'm not sure whether Mr. Damon is going to inquire further on that.

MR. DAMON: Well, I had inquired into how the equity investment would be made, the mechanics of that. And, I don't know if that's a sensitive subject for the Company or not.

MS. KNOWLTON: Yes. I mean, I would agree that the potential ownership interest, you know, may not be confidential. But, if the inquiry is going to go further, I don't have any problem, you know, with Mr. Bloomfield providing testimony with regard to it today, I just don't want, you know, the terms that are being negotiated to be public.

CHAIRMAN GETZ: Well, let's do this, that we -- a mechanism we've used in other proceedings. At the moment, there are no individuals in the hearing room that are not entitled to hear confidential information. So, I would ask the parties to confer after the hearing is concluded and go through the transcript and make a recommendation as to what items that are discussed during the hearing should be accorded some level of confidentiality.

| 1 | | MS. KNOWLTON: Thank you. |
|----|-------|---|
| 2 | BY ME | R. DAMON: |
| 3 | Q. | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | ? |
| 6 | Α. | |
| 7 | Q. | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | ? |
| 10 | Α. | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | · |
| 13 | Q. | |
| 14 | | ? |
| 15 | Α. | |
| 16 | | <u> </u> |
| 17 | Q. | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | ? |
| 20 | Α. | · |
| 21 | Q. | Now, presumably, the debt financing that Concord Power |
| 22 | | will need will be obtained, but Concord Power, |
| 23 | | obviously, will not come to the Commission for approval |
| 24 | | of that financing, is that right? |

A. That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. Can you tell us what the Concord Steam's capital structure would be after the plant begins service?
 - A. I can give you a general idea. I don't know what the dollars are. But our capital structure would basically consist of the assets that we would have in terms of underground steam pipe and the two back-up boilers that we would own.
 - Q. Do you have at least an estimate of the debt-to-equity ratio in your capital structure with that type of asset ownership?
 - Α. It would probably -- would probably end up with about a 20 or 30 percent equity and 60 and 70 percent or so of debt as an order of magnitude. But, primarily, what's going to -- the end result is, when we, as I said, the cost to Concord Steam for entering into this project and doing those things I mentioned, it's about \$6 million. I'm looking at getting one and a half million dollars in cash from the transaction and borrowing the four and a half million. So, there would be whatever equity we have in place now, plus that million and a half dollars, with roughly the four and a half million dollars of debt. So, that is --When you say "I expect to get about one and a Q. Okay.

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

- 1 half million dollars in cash", are you talking about Concord Steam or yourself? 2
 - Α. Concord Steam.
- 4 0. Okay.

3

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 5 Concord Steam is getting one and a half million dollars 6 of sale of assets --
- 7 Ο. Yes. Okay. Right.
 - -- from Concord Steam to Concord Power. Yes, the Α. assets are going from Concord Steam to Concord Power.
- 10 Q. Okay. I believe you testified this morning about your 11 expectations regarding the land arrangements at the woodyard. And, correct me if I'm wrong, because I may have missed -- not remembered this right, but do you expect that that lease will be terminated and Concord Power will acquire the ownership of the woodyard?
 - Α. I expect that the lease would be terminated, and that a new lease would be entered into with Concord Power.
 - 0. Do you expect the terms of that lease to be any less or any more disadvantageous to Concord Steam than the current lease?
- 21 I would expect the lease terms to be similar to what Α. 22 they are. I would expect the term of the lease to be 23 longer than what it is now. And, in terms of its 24 effect on Concord Steam, Concord Steam would end up

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

24

paying roughly its 13 to 15 percent of that lease amount, along with all of the other operating and maintenance expenses of the facility, Concord Steam would end up paying its percentage share of that amount.

- Q. Right. I don't think you spoke at any great length about the planned -- the land acquisition or lease arrangements at the plant site. And, could you go over what you expect for that?
- 10 As the final terms of financing and equity investment Α. 11 has not been completed, we're not exactly certain 12 whether the project will buy the property or not. Ιf 13 the project buys the property, it's -- obviously, then 14 Concord Power is then the owner of the property. 15 chooses to lease the property, then Concord Steam will 16 enter into a -- Concord Power, rather, will enter into 17 a long-term lease on that property.
 - Q. So, that's just uncertain as we speak?
- 19 A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. Can you describe how, under the Steam Purchase

 Agreement, the land-related costs at the plant site and
 the woodyard, how would they flow through the Steam

 Purchase Agreement?
 - A. The woodyard, under the long-term lease, would be --

Concord Power would be -- would have that lease payment, and that lease payment would be part of the overall operating and maintenance expenses of the facility, and that would be passed through in the steam price as per the Steam Sales Agreement through the steam percentage calculation.

- Q. Okay. So, that -- would that be part of the Capacity Charge or the Operation and Maintenance?
- A. It would be part of the Operation and Maintenance Charge.
 - Q. Okay.

- A. If the -- For instance, if the property on South Main Street is purchased, then that would end up being a capital cost, that would end up being wrapped into the debt service charge, and that would be part of the capacity payment. If it's a lease arrangement, then it would be part of the O&M. I mean, one way or the other, the property is going to get -- the cost of the property is going to get passed through to Concord Steam. And, again, it would be passed through based on the percentage of steam sales.
- Q. In terms of the land costs, since you and Mr. Saltsman are the owners, I mean, are you expecting to profit from any of these arrangements at the expense of the

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

1 steam utility?

- A. We expect to be paid to cover our debt service costs on that property and be paid enough to meet the covenants of the bank that has loaned us the money to meet those debt service requirements.
- Q. Okay. Let me just ask a few questions about the Settlement Agreement. And, in particular, I'd like to ask you some questions about Section 5(c).
- 9 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

20

24

- 10 0. No, I take that back, 5(a), excuse me. And, I would 11 direct your attention to the last sentence there, where 12 it says "The Company shall be entitled to recover 13 through its cost of energy rate set by the Commission 14 each year payment of the capacity, operations and 15 maintenance, and fuel charges as set forth in the 16 Agreement, subject to the Commission's review of the 17 prudence of such costs." Does the Company agree that 18 "prudence" in that sense includes the question of the 19 reasonableness of the costs?
 - A. Yes, I believe it does.
- Q. And, the Commission's review of the prudence of the costs, that includes the review and approval of those costs?
 - A. Yes. That the costs are prudent and that Concord Steam

has done its appropriate review of same, and, you know,

done its job in maintaining, monitoring, and trying to

control those costs as much as possible.

- Q. Okay. And, under the Steam Purchase Agreement, Concord Power would be making fuel purchase decisions, for example, who to buy wood from, at what price or length of term of the contract, all those sorts of things.

 And, under the Steam Purchase Agreement, those -- a share of those fuel costs get passed onto Concord Steam, right?
- A. That's right.

- Q. And, the question is, under the Settlement Agreement, in this last sentence of 5(a), is the prudence of Concord Power's fuel purchase decisions reviewable by the Commission, to the extent Concord Steam seeks to recover fuel purchase costs from ratepayers?
- A. Yes, I would expect it is.
- Q. And, if there are salaries of Concord Power personnel that get passed through under the Steam Purchase Agreement, would the Commission be authorized under this sentence to review the prudence of those salaries as well?
- A. The Commission has authority to certainly review all of Concord Steam's costs and the background of all those

1 costs. The Commission, nor Concord Power, necessarily 2 -- Concord Steam, rather, neither the Commission nor 3 Concord Steam necessarily has the power to control how 4 and where Concord Power spends its money. 5 review it, and we can disagree with it, but Concord 6 Power is a separate entity that neither Concord Steam 7 nor the Commission has control over. We have 8 contractual arrangements with them that say "make sure 9 those costs are reasonable and that they're in Good 10 Utility Practice". But this, you know, we don't have, 11 other than that, we can say that we feel that 12 particular costs are higher than they should be and 13 that we can disagree with those and agree not to pay 14 those perhaps, but I'm just making a point that we 15 don't necessarily have control over how Concord Power 16 spends its money. We can only control how much they 17 charge us and then what we feel is prudent as to what 18 they charge us. 19 If Concord Power were to suddenly jack up its salaries Q. 20 to some inordinate amount, and then say to Concord

to some inordinate amount, and then say to Concord

Steam "Well, under the Steam Purchase Agreement, you have to pay your share of those costs", the question I have is, does this -- would you agree with me that this last sentence provides that the Commission can review

{DG 08-107} [REDACTED - For Public Use] {02-25-09}

21

22

23

24

the prudence of those, the salary and personnel costs,

to the extent Concord Steam seeks to recover those

costs from ratepayers?

Bloomfield]

[WITNESS:

- A. Yes. Yes. That the Commission certainly has access to all of the books and records of the Concord Power through Concord Steam, and that we can review and determine whether those are appropriate or not.
- Q. Okay. So that you would agree that this provision, though, does allow the Commission to have that authority?
- A. It has the authority to review the costs that Concord Steam pays for, yes. Yes. I mean, there's -- there's just the clarification that, you know, the Commission doesn't have a direct connection with Concord Power, but it does -- it can determine whether or not the fees that Concord Steam is paying to Concord Steam [Power?] are appropriate. And, we can look at the source of those costs and those revenues and determine if those expenses that Concord Power is trying to pass on, whether they're prudent and within Good Utility Practice or not.
- Q. Okay. And, in addition to fuel purchase decisions and decisions regarding salaries and compensation for Concord Power's personnel, the construction costs that

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

are incurred to develop the site, is the prudence of
those or is the prudence of the decisions related to
those construction costs reviewable by the Commission,
to the extent Concord Steam seeks to recover those
costs from ratepayers?

- A. You're talking about the initial construction of the facility?
- 8 Q. Yes.

6

7

- 9 A. Yes, I would say it's the same, under the same general
 10 concept, that we can certainly, you know, review all of
 11 those costs and determine whether it's appropriate or
 12 not.
- Q. Well, that the Commission has the authority to decide whether those costs are going to be recovered from ratepayers, --
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. -- even though the decisions related to those are made by a non-regulated entity?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Yes. Is the prudence of land use -- or, land lease or acquisition arrangements involving the property owners at the plant site and the woodyard and Concord Power reviewable by the Commission, to the extent Concord Steam seeks to recover land-related costs from

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

1 ratepayers?

- 2 A. Yes. As similar to any other expenses or costs that
 3 Concord Power would incur.
- 4 Q. Okay. How big is the site out there?
- A. It is roughly a 30 acre site, of which roughly 20 acres is usually usable.
- 7 Q. Okay. And, the other 10 acres is --
- 8 A. Is swampland.
- 9 Q. Wetlands or swamp?
- 10 A. Yes.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 11 Q. Okay. How much of the 20 acres of usable land do you
 12 expect that the steam plant and the related operations
 13 will take up?
- 14 A. The steam plant should occupy roughly half of that space.
 - Q. And, if the site is developed for uses other than the planned steam plant electric generation operations, will the associated revenues be reflected or somehow flow through the Steam Purchase Agreement in some way or would the -- strike that. If the site is developed for uses other than the steam plant electric generation operations, would the associated revenues be reflected in the costs payable by Concord Steam's ratepayers?

MS. KNOWLTON: Mr. Damon, can you

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

clarify, when you say the "revenues", are you talking
about the revenues of Concord Power? Revenues that are
payable to Concord Power?

MR. DAMON: Yes.

5 BY MR. DAMON:

4

10

11

12

13

22

23

24

- 6 Q. Because they would -- they would either own or lease, I
 7 take it, the entire site?
- A. That's correct. That's how we foresee it, is that they would own the entire site.
 - Q. Yes. I guess the situation I'm concerned about is, where Concord Steam has a share of the costs of the site, and we understand how that arrangement works under the Steam Purchase Agreement.
- 14 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. But, if there are revenues generated from a further development of that site, such as, I don't know what, a subdivision or a lease --
- 18 A. Uh-huh.
- 19 Q. -- or whatever, do all the benefits of that activity
 20 flow only to Concord Power or do they somehow flow
 21 through to the Concord Steam's ratepayers?
 - A. It's, obviously, a hypothetical, and it's a complicated site to try and do anything else with. So, it's not something that -- plus, whatever is developed there

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]
would have to be willing to have a sto

would have to be willing to have a steam plant in its immediate backyard. So, there's a number of issues related to that as to whether it's practical or possible or not. But, if it were to happen, I guess it hasn't been addressed one way or the other. If, for instance, if Concord Power leases the site, and the site is subdivided, then that lease would have to be revisited and rewritten, and the lease terms would be changed at that time. And, therefore, the change in those lease terms would flow through to Concord Steam, assuming that, say, half of the site is taken as another -- for another operation, that the lease payments would reduce at the site, and that those reduced payments would then flow through to Concord Steam as a reduced operation expense.

- Q. Well, let's say a part of the site was developed for uses other than the planned steam plant. There would be costs associated with that, right?
- A. Yes.

- Q. And, would Concord Steam's ratepayers be on the hook to pay any of those costs?
- A. No, they wouldn't. It would be part of the -- part of the landowner and the lessee wouldn't be paying any of those expenses. The cost of subdividing the property

1 or whatever might else be in there would be the cost of 2 Concord Power, that would be to the cost of the 3 landowner, who would then subdivide it and redo the 4 I wouldn't expect Concord Power to be spending 5 money on the property and putting it in toward 6 operation and maintenance expenses. On the -- If it 7 were, those operation and maintenance expenses are --8 would be reviewed by Concord Steam every year, and that 9 is certainly not any activity directly related to the 10 production of steam, and therefore it would not 11 necessarily be approved by us to pay those operation 12 and maintenance expenses. That those would be 13 disallowed by us, in terms of our review of those 14 annual expenses.

- Q. Right. And, the Commission, as I understand it, would have the review authority under that last section of 5(a) to review the Concord Steam's decisions on that in that regard?
- 19 A. Yes, that's right.

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

Q. If Concord Power were to actually buy the plant site land outright, and then lease or resell a portion of the land that's not used for the steam plant electric generation operations, would any of the benefits of that be reflected in the costs payable by Concord

Probably not. It would be another business risk that

Steam's ratepayers?

Α.

Concord Power is taking in purchasing the property.

And, just like Concord Steam doesn't have any direct benefit from the sale of its electricity, other than the sale of electricity is providing a bigger base and an economy of scale for the provision of steam, but there's no -- if the price of electricity were to increase or decrease, there's no flow-through to Concord Steam in either case. And, similar, I would suspect that if the Company bought the property, that its -- what it chose to do with that real estate, it would be, you know, part of its -- part of its own business decisions.

- Q. Okay. Let me try to get at this a little different way. Is there any situation you can conceive of in which land costs or a situation in which costs related to a portion of the site that would not be used and useful for the steam plant and electric generation operations be passed onto Concord Steam ratepayers?
- A. I don't see any situation where there could be costs that could be passed onto the ratepayers that could be attributable to other activities at Concord Power. You know, we will be reviewing their budgets and their

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

expenses that gets passed through as part of the steam charge. And, just as, for instance, we have to exclude whatever costs they might be spending on the turbine generator sets or the production of electricity or the cooling system that is required for the steam turbines, any of those operation and maintenance expenses are excluded from what the steam company pays. And, so, we would be looking through and making sure that there weren't other extraneous purchases or expenses that we felt were not appropriate to be passed through to the ratepayers.

- Q. As minority owners of Concord Power, what part would you and Mr. Saltsman play in the management of Concord Power?
- A. Typically, we would expect that, as present owner/operator/developers, that the majority owners, the equity owners, would not just want, but require us to be involved for the first, typically, three to five years of operation. Beyond that, it's likely we still would be, but there's no certainty one way or the other.
- Q. And, is that partly at the option of the new equity investors?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.

1 Q. And, it's, obviously, partly your choice of what you want to do?

- A. Well, that's true, too. At some point, we do want to get out of the rat race and move on.
- Q. And, to the extent you're involved in the ongoing
 operations of Concord Power, would you expect to enter
 into a management contract or some sort of a management
 services contract?
- 9 A. Yes. Yes, it would be something along those lines, that's correct.
- 11 Q. And, would the costs of those be or at least a portion
 12 of those costs would be flowed through to Concord
 13 Steam's ratepayers, right?
- 14 A. That's right, yes.
- Q. And, would the reasonableness of those costs be reviewable by the Commission?
- 17 A. Yes.

3

4

Q. Okay. If the Commission were to deem it necessary to
have its auditors speak directly with Concord Power

personnel or look at Concord Power's books and records,

regarding an issue related to the costs sought to be
recovered from Concord Steam's ratepayers, would

Concord Steam agree to authorize the auditors to be its

representatives, pursuant to the Steam Purchase

Agreement provisions?

A. The Steam Purchase Agreement certainly allows access to Concord Power's books through Concord Steam. But it doesn't necessarily give us the authority to let the Commission's auditors complete and free access to the site itself. I suspect it's going to have to go through Concord Steam with data requests and information. And, in effect, the auditors will have access to those, all of the data that they might require, I just don't know that it would be necessarily direct access, just because of control and contractual issues.

Q. Right. And, certainly, in the normal course of business, I'm sure that that would all be done that way. I'm just thinking about a possible situation where it might be -- the Commission might feel it necessary to send its own auditors out to look at some part of Concord Power's books in order to verify that the costs flowing through to the ratepayers are legitimate and reasonable and so on. And, I don't know what that would be specifically, but it could happen, and I wanted to find out what the situation there would be.

I know Article 18 talks about the

"Seller's agreement, to the extent requested by the Buyer", in other words, the "Buyer" being Concord Steam, "to make its officers and employees and books and records available to the PUC for review and shall cooperate with the Buyer in responding to any inquiries or investigations by the PUC to which the Buyer is required to respond, whether relating to this agreement, the costs incurred by Buyer under this Agreement or otherwise."

But there is another provision, and the exact section sort of escapes me at the moment, I don't know if somebody — okay. Section — There is another provision regarding Buyer's representatives. And, do you know where that is? Maybe it's under "Billing"? Oh, yes, it is under "Billing". Sorry, 4.2. Where it says "Buyer, including any of its representatives, has the right, during normal business hours and upon three days advance written notice to examine Seller's books and records and to discuss them with Seller's officers, employees, representatives and independent public accountants as Buyer deems necessary." So, as I read that provision, that does allow Concord Steam to name the Commission's auditors, if necessary, as its representatives to examine Concord Power's books.

That, I mean, certainly, Article 18 is very specific to

1

Α.

Q.

Would you agree that my reading is correct?

3

2

that respect. And, it seems that, you know, under your

4

4.2, that you could also interpret it that way. You

5

know, I think that it's relatively clear in the

6

document that the intent is to have the records of

7

Concord Power available and accessible to Concord Steam

8

and the Public Utilities Commission.

9

Okay. And, again, and this is, obviously, also a

10

hypothetical, as the last one was, but, if Concord

11

Steam were to deny the Commission's auditors from

12

gaining direct access to Concord Power's personnel or

13

books regarding a cost issue in connection with the

14

Steam Purchase Agreement, would you agree with me that

15

the Commission would be authorized to disallow costs

16

related to that issue?

17

MS. KNOWLTON: I just want to object to

18

the form of that question, because I feel like that's a mischaracterization of Article 18 of the Agreement,

19

20 because there is no unequivocal right of the Commission

21

to, or its auditors, to stand in the shoes of the Buyer

22

and access information from Concord Power.

23

MR. DAMON: Well, I --

24

MS. KNOWLTON: Concord Steam is not

obligated to appoint the Commission's auditors as its representatives. They don't believe that that's the intention of that provision, and there is no obligation there.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ DAMON: Yes, I was actually speaking more to 4.2 and the sentence that I just read.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. It seems to me that, if there's a cost that Concord

Steam is looking at passing through to its ratepayers,
that we have to satisfy all of the Staff's and

Commission's concerns on those costs, and show to their
satisfaction that those costs are prudent. And, as to
what we need to do to accomplish that, you know, we'll
do what we can, according to what controls we have
through this contract.

BY MR. DAMON:

Q. Well, I'm just trying to understand what the contract provides for then, because it is important. I mean, the Commission has continuing duties regarding the regulation of Concord Steam. And, I mean, I think it is important to understand what the Company's understanding is of the Commission's authority or limits of any authority to gain direct access, if necessary, and I think it would be in an unusual

situation certainly, under this provision?

MS. KNOWLTON: I just want to state an objection for the record, which is that I do think that question, to some extent, calls for a legal conclusion.

And, Mr. Bloomfield certainly is not a lawyer and can't on opine as to the extent of the Commission's legal authority.

MR. DAMON: Well, then, if Ms. Knowlton would address that, as the lawyer for the Company, I would certainly accept that.

MS. KNOWLTON: Sure, I'd be glad to.

And, I think that, you know, what our view is of these provisions is that the Commission -- Concord Power is a third party over which the Commission does not have jurisdiction. And, it's no different than when PSNH goes out and enters into a contract with a third party to purchase generation, the Commission doesn't have jurisdiction over that third party. What the Commission does have jurisdiction to do is to review the costs that the utility seeks to recover through its rates, whether it's through its base rates or through its cost of energy rates, which would be the case here. And, the onus is going to be on Concord Steam to go to Concord Power to get that information sufficient to address the prudency

questions that the Staff and the Commission have about the costs that are flowing through the cost of energy charge. But it is not our position that the Commission has the legal authority to directly access the books and records of an unregulated third party.

CMSR. BELOW: Well, I'm a little confused. Doesn't the PUC have jurisdiction over affiliates of regulated entities, to the extent of having the authority to examine their books and records?

MS. KNOWLTON: I think that's something that Mr. Damon and I at least disagree with, and maybe, you know, and maybe I disagree with you as well. I don't think that that -- that there is a basis for the Commission to directly access the records of a holding company. I think, you know, it may be the practice and in some cases utilities produce that information in response to audit requests. But I don't think that there's any statutory basis that grants the Commission that authority.

CMSR. BELOW: But aren't you asking us to approve for your client a contract that expressly says that "to the extent requested by the Buyer", the jurisdictional entity, the Seller "shall make its employees, books and records available to the PUC for review"?

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

MS. KNOWLTON: The intention of this provision is that the Staff, the Commission would come to Concord Steam, you know, Concord Steam, to the extent it seeks to recover these costs through the cost of energy charge, the Staff would propound data requests, and Audit Staff may have questions, and would propound those to Concord Steam. And, it's going to be Concord Steam's obligation to go to Concord Power and solicit and obtain the information that it needs to respond to those requests. And, the response is going to come through Concord Steam. It's not going to be from Concord Power to the Commission or from the Staff to Concord Power. It's going to be through Concord Steam.

CMSR. BELOW: So, this doesn't mean what it says?

MS. KNOWLTON: That's what -- That's what the Company intends for it to mean. That is our view of this, is that it's through Concord Steam. There's not a direct channel from the Commission to Concord Power. It's no different than any third party agreement that exists, you know, when utilities, you know, purchase oil, purchase gas, purchase some form of supply.

CMSR. BELOW: Well, it does seem to be different, because those contracts don't typically have

1 express language that says the third party's "books and 2 records shall be available to the PUC for review", not 3 through an intermediary, but for what it says? 4 MS. KNOWLTON: Well, it's "to the extent 5 requested by the Buyer" is the language in that article. 6 It's through Concord Steam. 7 CMSR. BELOW: Right. So, if the Buyer 8 requests the Seller to make their books and records 9 available, the original books and records, doesn't the 10 Seller have an obligation to make those original books and 11 records available to the PUC for its direct review? 12 MS. KNOWLTON: Through Concord Steam. 13 Not directly between the PUC and Concord Power, through 14 Concord Steam is what --15 CMSR. BELOW: "To the extent requested 16 by the Buyer". 17 MS. KNOWLTON: That's right. 18 CMSR. BELOW: Doesn't that mean, "if the 19 Buyer requests, the Seller will make their books and 20 records available and their employees", that the PUC Staff 21 or Commissioners would have direct access to those books 22 and records, --23 MS. KNOWLTON: No. 24 CMSR. BELOW: -- if the Buyer requested

1 them?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. KNOWLTON: That's not what the Company intends with this provision. What the Company intends is that, in a cost of energy proceeding in which the Company would be seeking recovery of the charges through the Steam Purchase Agreement, the Staff, as it does, is going to propound discovery requests. And, to the extent that there's questions about, let's take the O&M clause as an example, if there's -- and Mr. Damon was concerned about, you know, "what if the salaries get jacked up of Concord Power employees?" And, the Staff comes in and asks questions and says "Did Concord" -- you know, "Are those charges -- was it prudent for Concord Steam to pay those charges? Were they consistent with Good Utility Practice, which is the standard under the O&M Charge clause?" Concord Steam is going to go to Concord Power and get the information that it needs to respond to those data requests to the extent that it's seeking recovery of those charges through its rates.

MR. DAMON: Let me just weigh in a little bit here, because I'm not sure if we're communicating exactly on the same wavelength here. But, for one thing, Concord Power and Concord Steam are today affiliates. But, if Mr. Bloomfield becomes a minority

owner, it could be that Concord Power is no longer an affiliate under RSA 366 to Concord Steam. My understanding was that what Ms. Knowlton has described in terms of the interpretation of Article, whatever it is, Article 18, is that's how she explained it.

However, under Article 4.2, the Buyer has -- can have its representatives "examine Seller's books and records and discuss them Seller's officers, employees, representatives and independent public accountants as Buyer deems necessary." And, my impression was that, under that provision, in an unusual case, but a necessary case perhaps, a case in which Concord -- the Commission Staff did not trust the reliability of the information it was getting, that Concord Steam could nominate, as its representative, under 4.2, the Commission Staff. That's how I understood 4.2. And, on that basis, I put my name on the Settlement Agreement.

MS. KNOWLTON: But it's discretionary, it's not mandatory. So, it would be -- the onus would be on Concord Steam, to the extent that it sought recovery of those charges, to determine whether or not it wanted to do that, and whether it felt it was appropriate to designate the Audit Staff as its representative. And, if it chose not to do that, then it would bear the risk of a

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

1 disallowance. 2 MR. DAMON: Okay. I mean, I think that 3 was where I started in the question I was trying to get an 4 answer to, and I think I just heard it. 5 MS. KNOWLTON: Okav. 6 CHAIRMAN GETZ: So, in both instances, 7 under 4.2 and Article 18, the Buyer, Concord Steam, has 8 some discretion in the first instance with respect to 9 asking or including the PUC in a review of Concord Power's 10 records, books and records. And, your position, 11 Ms. Knowlton, is that the Commission doesn't have 12 unfettered, independent authority to look at those 13 records. But, to the extent that Concord Steam doesn't 14 elect to make those records available through these 15 contractual provisions, then what the Concord Steam is 16 facing is a failure of proof --17 MS. KNOWLTON: That's right. 18 CHAIRMAN GETZ: -- on the underlying --19 on the underlying expenses that then couldn't be passed 20 onto ratepayers. Is that your --21 MS. KNOWLTON: Yes, that's correct. 22 And, that was the intention and the Company's intention 23 with regard to these provisions in the agreement. And, 24 we, I mean, well, I don't want to speak out of school,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

but, you know, we've expressed that intention, you know, as we've gone through this process with the Staff, that that was our interpretation of these provisions.

CMSR. BELOW: I'd still like to further clarify Article 18. Because, consistent with what you and the Chairman have just said, certainly looks like, if the Buyer requests it, then the Seller shall cooperate with the Buyer in responding to inquiries and investigations to which the Buyer is required to respond from the PUC. Which is consistent with the sort of indirect, you know, the PUC, through Concord Steam, gets information about books and records of Concord Power. But it seems Article 18 seems to go further and says "if the Buyer, in its discretion, requests, then the Seller agrees that it will make its officers and employees and its books and records available to the PUC for review," which would be in a rather direct way, "if the Buyer requests." Now, that's discretion. But, if the Buyer requests, then the Seller has an obligation to allow the PUC to directly examine its books and records, to the extent the Buyer requests that, or respond to questions directly by officers and employees. Is that a reasonable understanding of this Article 18?

MS. KNOWLTON: Yes.

[HIIII DIG DIG MILIOIG]

1 CMSR. BELOW: Okay. Thank you.

BY MR. DAMON:

2

- 3 Okay. Perhaps it's appropriate at this point to just Q. 4 ask a question about 5(c) briefly. And, I know this 5 morning you testified about at least part of that. 6 And, 5(c) is the provision which says that "The 7 Commission's approval of the Agreement is limited to 8 the terms of the Agreement as set forth in Exhibit A." 9 And, then, it says "Any modifications of the Agreement 10 are subject to RSA 366." And, that, as I understand 11 it, does require any modifications to be submitted to 12 the Commission for its possible review, but they have 13 to be filed with the Commission. Is that your 14 understanding?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. But, even if Concord Power, at some point down the
 17 road, is no longer an affiliate of Concord Steam under
 18 366, that last sentence also might apply as well. Do
 19 you agree with that?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. So that, if there are any modifications to this

 Agreement between Concord Power and Concord Steam, and
 to the extent that -- that it says that "all costs

 incurred under any modified steam purchase agreement

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

- for which the Company seeks ratepayer recovery will be subject to prudence review by the Commission." That's what it says?
- 4 A. Yes, that's right.
- 5 Q. Yes.
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. So, that still leaves the onus on Concord Steam to act prudently in terms of agreeing to any modifications?
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. In terms of how an order approving this

 Settlement Agreement would be used, clearly, the lender

 is interested in that, and I suppose, certainly, the

 equity investors are interested in that as well?
- 14 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 15 Q. Yes. And, during the course of this proceeding, the 16 Staff asked a number of data requests of the Company.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 MR. DAMON: And, I would like to
 19 introduce two of them. They're on one sheet of paper.
- And, these are Staff Data Requests 42 and 43. And, I
- 21 think, Ms. Knowlton, you have a copy of this, right?
- 23 BY MR. DAMON:

22

Q. Okay. Let me just show you, I took and copied them

{DG 08-107} [REDACTED - For Public Use] {02-25-09}

MS. KNOWLTON:

Yes.

- 1 onto a separate page.
- 2 Α. Yes.

6

7

8

- 3 Q. And, ask if those are the Company responses to the 4 questions asked in 42 and 43?
- 5 Α. Yes, they are.
 - Q. And, are they true and accurate to the best of your ability today as they were when originally filed with the Staff?
- 9 Α. Yes, they are.
- 10 MR. DAMON: I would ask that this be 11 marked for identification.
- 12 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. We'll mark for identification as "Exhibit 4" the Company's responses to 13 14 Staff Data Request 1-42 and 1-43.

15 (The document, as described, was 16 herewith marked as Exhibit 4 for 17 identification.)

18 BY MR. DAMON:

23

24

19 Okay. Apologize if this examination is a little bit 20 disjointed, but I'm doing the best I can. I'd like to 21 draw your attention, Mr. Bloomfield, to Article 16. 22 And, I think you spoke or you addressed at least part of this section in your testimony this morning. And, that relates to the UCC statement reflecting Concord

Steam's ownership interest in the back-up boilers and And, there's an additional provision here as well. And, would you just -- And, let me, and that's that provision that follows that sentence, which is --so it would be the third sentence in Article 16. the purpose of that, as I understand it, would be to allow Concord Steam, if necessary, to continue in operations in the event that there would be any financial problems with Concord Power. Is that your understanding of that third sentence?

A. Yes, that's correct.

- Q. And, the idea would be that there would be a recordable property interest held by Concord Steam that would allow them to continue to have access to the site and to be able to continue to generate steam, right?
- A. That's right, yes.
- Q. And, the last sentence in that section says that "Such rights shall have priority over any liens in connection with financing obtained by the landowner and/or Seller." And, that would be necessary to prevent a foreclosure from wiping out any land rights that the Buyer might have and that would be necessary to continue operation. And, that refers to "liens". And, if there would be a lease, let's say, as well, would

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

you agree with me that the term "liens" would be broad enough to include the term "leases"?

MS. KNOWLTON: I want to just object, and Mr. Bloomfield can try to answer the question, but I think that may call for a legal conclusion, about whether a "lease" is encompassed with the word "lien". I mean, I think my understanding is that, if there were a lease on the property, that the lease could not pledge -- the back-up boilers, which are owned by Concord Steam, could not be pledged as security or mortgaged in any way by the lessee, as I understand the Staff's concern.

MR. DAMON: Right.

MS. KNOWLTON: I think that was the -what we were trying to get at was that there wouldn't be
any, you know, that a lessee, you know, or the owner of
the property would not have any entitlement whatsoever to
the back-up boilers.

MR. DAMON: Or the ability --

MS. KNOWLTON: Or their ability to mortgage them, you know, or finance them, use them as security for some kind of financing or a lease.

MR. DAMON: Well, the situation I was concerned about would be, if there is a lease from the landowner to Concord Power, and without regard to any

mortgage held on the property, if Concord Power was to suddenly be in breach of that lease, and the owner took back the property, could or would Concord Steam's operations be at risk, because it didn't have a superior property interest to that lease?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. KNOWLTON: My understanding of the legal issue is that the lease could not encumber Concord Power's -- excuse me, Concord Steam's right on the back-up boilers. That they're, in a sense, legally personal property that can be removable from the site. And, Concord Steam would have that ability, you know, to physically remove those back-up boilers, and that legally a lessee could not encumber those back-up boilers in any way. And, part of the intention of the provision of the UCC financing -- or, the UCC filing is, you know, to announce to the world that the back-up boilers are owned by Concord Steam. There could be a similar filing that would be made with the Registry of Deeds in Merrimack County that, you know, so that there would be notice to, I mean, that could be another form of notice. But I think that's my understanding from the legal perspective, is that a lease could not take any superior position as to the back-up boilers.

MR. DAMON: Right. I was keying more on

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

-- less on the back-up boilers, which I understand would be owned free and clear, but I was focusing on the risk to the Company, if some superior property interest could jeopardize their right to continue to operate their back-up boiler.

MS. KNOWLTON: I see. Well, I think, if you look at the prior sentence in Article 16, it says "At Buyer's request, the landowner and Seller shall grant Buyer a recordable interest in the property on which the Seller's plant is located which will ensure that the Buyer has full rights to maintain, operate, repair", you know, etcetera, "the back-up boilers." And, then, it goes onto say that "Such rights shall have priority over any liens". And, I hear your question, you know, where that leaves them. And, I think what's contemplated is is the form of that right could be an easement or could be a license to enter the property, if I'm understanding your question correctly, to operate the back-up boilers. And, that any subsequent lease that might occur would not be superior. That's correct --

MR. DAMON: Yes. Any subsequent lease or any prior lease as well. Just any lease. Because the same problem could happen with a lease that might happen with a mortgage.

1 MS. KNOWLTON: Right. And, I think -- I 2 mean, my understanding is that that would not be the case. 3 And, certainly, that's not the utility's desire or 4 intention with this provision. The purpose of this 5 article is to protect the utility's right to go on the 6 property --7 MR. DAMON: Right. 8 MS. KNOWLTON: -- and access the back-up 9 boilers as needed. 10 MR. DAMON: Right. So, that the 11 easement then or --12 MS. KNOWLTON: Which would be recordable with the Registry of Deeds, I believe would --13 14 MR. DAMON: Right. Would be superior to 15 a lease, as well as a mortgage? 16 MS. KNOWLTON: That's right. 17 MR. DAMON: Yes. All right. Thank you. 18 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Please proceed. 19 BY MR. DAMON: 20 Mr. Bloomfield, could you explain the rationale why Q. 21 Concord Steam owns or is it intended to own the back-up 22 boilers under the Steam Purchase Agreement? I mean, 23 Concord Power could have owned those, too. But what 24 was the thinking behind having Concord Steam own those

boilers?

- A. It gives Concord Steam more flexibility. If there are problems with Concord Power, if Concord Power, as you've pointed out, has financial problems, goes bankrupt, whatever, those boilers, as -- by being owned by Concord Steam, are shielded from that risk. And, that ensures that Concord Steam has a source for steam for its customers.
- Q. And, isn't another reason as well that Concord Power, if it did not sell steam to Concord Steam, would not install these back-up boilers?
 - A. That is correct. Those boilers are not necessary for the operation of that power generation plant. And, that any steam from those boilers couldn't be used to generate electricity, for a number of reasons.
 - Q. Drawing your attention to Section 6(a) of the

 Settlement Agreement, and that relates to "Transfer of

 Utility Assets". And, you testified about that this

 morning as well. That the purchase price that the

 Staff has supported is \$1.5 million. But that purchase

 price assumes that the equipment is in good order as it

 is now, right?
- 23 A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. Yes. I mean, if it gets destroyed or something like

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

that, then we would have to figure out what to do in that situation?

A. That's right, yes.

3

14

- 4 Q. Okay. I'd like to go over now, you know, a little more 5 detail the elements of the 30 percent savings that are 6 stated in the Petition. And, that's I think 30 percent 7 savings of customers' overall bills. And, let me just 8 run through some of the costs. Annual O&M expenses, 9 for example, and I think you testified this morning how 10 many Concord Steam employees there are now and how many 11 would be directly assigned to Concord Steam under the 12 Steam Purchase Agreement. You testified to that this 13 morning, right?
 - A. Yes, that's right.
- 15 Q. And, how many Concord Power employees, I think you
 16 testified to that, too, how many Concord Power
 17 employees there would be? Well, maybe just, after this
 18 new plant gets into operation, how many employees of
 19 Concord Power will there be?
- 20 A. Concord Power will end up with approximately 22, 23 employees.
- 22 Q. And, Concord Steam will end up with about many?
- 23 A. Four or five.
- Q. Okay. And, what percentage of the Concord employees --

Concord Power employees' costs will be charged to Concord Steam?

- A. The steam sale percentage, which is approximately 15 percent.
- Q. And, Concord Steam's management costs now are about what?
- 7 A. The management fee and supervisory personnel kind of costs you mean?
- 9 Q. Uh-huh.

3

4

- 10 A. Those are, if we look at management fee, plus the top
 11 supervision, is probably in the neighborhood of, oh,
 12 might be \$200,000 a year.
- Q. And, how does that compare to the expected costs under the Steam Purchase Agreement?
- 15 A. It would be whatever the Steam Purchase Agreement
 16 management costs are, and 15 percent of those, which,
 17 you know, could be something different than the
 18 200,000, but it's not going to be significantly
 19 different.
- Q. And, how do Concord Steam's electric costs now compare
 with what you expect to them to be under the Steam
 Purchase Agreement?
- A. Concord Steam now has all of the electric costs it takes to operate this facility and generate, it's also

in steam. When it's purchasing steam, Concord Steam isn't going to need to buy electricity, per se. There will be electricity costs wrapped into the Steam Purchase Agreement as part of the -- as part of the steam purchase arrangement, as part of their O&M expenses, as part of their fuel expenses, but Concord Steam, per se, won't be writing a check to Unitil.

- Q. Okay. So, do you expect the electric costs to go up or down?
- A. Okay. Concord Steam's costs of electricity through the O&M, so the question is "what is Concord Steam's share of that electricity portion of the facility?" We expect it to go down. I mean, the true cost to Concord Power is they're generating their own electricity, so it's really the cost of fuel. And, you know, we're paying for our portion of that, which is, like I said, I think it's about one and a half percent of what the amount of steam actually used in that steam turbine. So, we expect the net cost to Concord Steam to reduce as a result of the operation of that plant.
- Q. Okay. In terms of fuel cost savings, can you compare the annual wood costs now to Concord Steam with what they would be under the Steam Purchase Agreement?
- A. There's a number of reasons why the cost of fuel is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

going to go down. One is that, right now we have 70 percent of our fuel mix is wood, and roughly 30 percent is a fossil fuel of some sort. It's either gas or oil. The new facility will be virtually 100 percent wood. The cost of wood to the new facility we expect to reduce for some of the reasons I mentioned. That it's going to be a more continuous, permanent purchaser of wood supply from its contract obligations. And, also, some of the expenses, such as operating the woodyard, is going to be essentially the same cost as it is now, but it's going to be spread over, you know, a much larger base, where those same costs, it's going to cost the front-end loader/operator and the trucking and so on and so forth, but Concord Steam is only going to pay now 15 percent of those costs, instead of 100 percent of those costs. And, those are kind of wrapped into the fuel cost issues.

- Q. Uh-huh. What percentage of Concord Steam's steam output is based on wood as a fuel source now?
- A. Right now at roughly 70 percent.
- Q. And, do you have any prediction for what it might be under the Steam Purchase Agreement?
- 23 A. Under the Steam Purchase Agreement, it should be I'd say 100 percent, but there is going to be some gas

having to be burned during down periods in our low load periods of time. So, it's certainly going to be

95 percent. It could be even 98 percent. But it's -certainly, a significant majority of the cost will be related to the cost of wood.

- Q. Okay. Under the Steam Purchase Agreement, if natural gas would be a more economical fuel source than wood for Concord Steam, I take it that Concord Steam could elect to burn natural gas?
- A. Yes. Yes, we could.

- Q. Okay. And, under Section 2.7 of the Steam Purchase Agreement, and this is on the point of fuel flexibility, you know, this is a long-term agreement of 30 to 50 years, the third sentence says "Upon Buyer's request, Seller shall make any improvements to the back-up boilers or shall increase the capacity of the back-up boilers." And, as the Staff understands this, the improvements that are referred to in that section might, if appropriate and economical, include the addition of an additional fuel course beyond natural gas, and I think you mentioned the possibility of oil?
- A. That's correct. Oil or what's a lot of -- more and more cities and towns are doing is they're putting digesters at the sewage treatment plants and creating

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

methane gas, and they need to have an outlet for that.

So, that could be one possibility. Concord is not even considering it. But I'm just saying what might happen in 30 or 50 years, who knows, but that's one possibility.

- Q. So, basically, as I look at this, the Company now has quite a lot of fuel flexibility, and it seems to retain a lot of that under this new agreement?
- 9 A. Yes, that's right.

- Q. And, does the Company expect any savings from upgrades to the distribution system and the new steam plant?
- A. Yes. We have mentioned re-insulating some significant sections of lines and try and reduce our line losses.

 That will -- That should help a lot. And, certainly, the overall efficiency of the new facility is going to be better as it's new. And, boiler efficiency should be significantly better than what we're dealing with now.
- Q. How about improvements to safety and reliability?
- A. It's actually not going to be significantly different.

 I mean, and granted, it's a whole new facility, it's a single plant, with multiple boilers. So that, as far as Concord Steam is concerned with its supply of steam, we're expecting it to have certainly no worse

reliability than we have now, because our reliability
to date has been very good. You know, certainly, we're
not -- the new plant probably won't have quite as many
breakdowns and issues to deal with. But, in terms of
supply of steam, we're expecting it to be very
reliability. We don't expect it to be an issue.

- Q. But the other day the Company had a small fire at its plant.
- 9 A. Uh-huh.

- Q. And, that kind of -- or, the cause of that would be less likely to occur at a newer plant, wouldn't it?
- A. That's true. I mean, certainly say, after the first year or so of operation with the typical start-up bugs and things that you get. But, yes, that was a fuel oil fire, and we don't expect to have fuel out there, among other things. But, yes, that kind of thing we'd hope to see a reduction in.
- Q. Okay. Yes. This is an important question, too, and I want to make sure it's own the record. Under the Steam Purchase Agreement, how does the Company's expectations regarding load growth compare to what it has now?
- A. With the improved steam rates, we expect to be able to recover some of the lost steam sales that we've had.

 Some of the -- Some of the buildings have converted to

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

1 natural gas and we've lost steam customers over the 2 past few years. And, with the more attractive steam 3 rates, we expect to be able to recover some of those 4 lost customers.

- Q. Okay. And, the effect of that is that rates for all 6 customers would tend to --
- 7 Α. Reduce.
- 8 0. Yes.

5

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 9 Α. That's right. As our losses are fixed, and the larger 10 the base we have of steam sales, the lower the 11 percentage of losses is to any one customer.
- 12 Was the expected load growth factored into the Q. 13 30 percent savings that the Petition talks about?
 - Α. Not really. The 30 percent is based on what we're going to be able to sell on day one of the contract. And, as the steam growth improves, we hope that that improvement in pricing would actually get better.
 - Q. This morning you mentioned a couple of changes to the Steam Purchase Agreement that you're going to be filing in an exhibit in the nature of a record request. And, I just draw your attention to one of those. And, that is the -- it relates to Section 7.4.1 and 1.20.
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 Q. And, Section 1.20 refers to a term called "maximum

1 steam production capacity". And, the proposed revision 2 to Section 7.4.1 says that "the denominator in the 3 calculation is the total quantity of steam capable of 4 being produced in a wood-fired boiler." And, do those 5 -- does that wording refer to the same concept as 6 "maximum steam production capacity"? 7 Α. Yes, it is. That was the intent. Because that 8 definition in 1.2 is actually defining "steam sales 9 percentage", and this is just a formula clarification 10 as to how that steam sales percentage gets calculated 11 and determined. 12 Q. And, up to now, the Company has agreed and has kept the 13 Staff and Commission informed and so on of current 14 developments in the progress of the new plant and so 15 Is that a general commitment the Company would 16 be willing to continue to abide by? 17 Α. Yes. Absolutely. 18 I have no further questions. 19 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Then, let's take 20 a brief recess and resume at 3:00. 21 (Whereupon a recess was taken at 2:45 22 p.m. and the hearing reconvened at 3:04 23 p.m.) 24 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. We're back on the

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

record. And, questions from the Bench? Commissioner
Below.

- 3 BY CMSR. BELOW:
- Q. What's the current output rating, electrical output rating of the current steam generation plant?
- A. At maximum output, we can put out approximately 2,000 kilowatts, or 2 megawatts.
- 8 Q. And, the new plant will be about 17 megawatts?
- 9 A. Seventeen megawatts output, that's right.
- Q. And, you've -- I think your earlier testimony indicated about -- you're expecting about a 25 fold increase in the electric generation?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. Why that particular size?
- 15 We started looking at what it would take, what size Α. 16 facility it would take to supply steam needs for 17 Concord Steam, in terms of being able to supply steam 18 to our existing needs, plus any reasonable growth we 19 could expect in the next 20 or 30 years. And, so, 20 that's kind of where we started picking the size of 21 that, of that boiler and that plant, and then we kind 22 of worked from that and worked out from there. 23 were a number of reasons why we couldn't go larger than 24 that. We needed to be within the City of Concord,

within reach of our steam system. And, in order to do much larger than that, we'd have to -- that the interconnection point would be -- we'd have to do something else. Right now, we're interconnecting at roughly 35 kV. And, a reasonable maximum of 35 kV is about 20 megawatts. So, you know, we couldn't -- we couldn't put a 30-megawatt plant in, it wouldn't be able to -- plus, the other issues, you know, that much larger size facility in the city limits of Concord would just be that much more of an issue of trying to get it permitted.

- Q. So, is it your opinion this is a fairly optimum size in terms of the steam system's needs and how it will potentially lower the cost of steam production?
- A. Yes, that's correct.

- Q. I think you kind of answered my question about why this structure in Exhibit 4, but I just want to confirm that this is still your belief, that you wouldn't be able or very unlikely to raise the capital necessary to build the project, if the investment were restricted to rate of return regulation, is that --
- A. That's right. And, it's not just that, but it's a matter of finding the equity players and the equity and the cash to match it as well. But, yes. You know, for

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

- just a steam -- just a steam sales return, we don't -there's not that much revenue available there.
- 3 Q. Is there an active rail line proximate to the site?
- 4 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. If it economically made sense, is it technically and legally feasible for you to take wood chip delivery by railroad car?
- A. Yes, it is. There are some technical issues with that, but it's certainly feasible.
- 10 Q. In Article 1, Section 1.20 of the proposed Steam 11 Purchase Agreement, maybe you could help me understand 12 the steam sales percentage, how that works a little bit 13 better. As I understand it, the steam sales percentage 14 is going to be a function of the actual metered steam 15 that's sold to Concord Steam, divided by the maximum 16 steam production capacity, allowing for sort of a 17 reasonable capacity factor for the plant, is that 18 correct?
- 19 A. That's right, yes.
- Q. So, if the plant doesn't produce that much steam,
 essentially that burden or risk is put on the
 electricity side of the business?
- 23 A. That's right, yes.
- Q. That's right. And, then, the additional adder, the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

steam sales percentage show the total amount of steam used to generate station service power. I understood your example sort of assuming normal, full operation, which was to the effect that about 10 percent of the total steams would be needed to generate station service power, using the roughly 15 percent that might be sold to Concord Steam, that would be about 1.5 percent sort of adder to that base amount. does this work? And, maybe that's in the formula in Section -- in Article 7. But how does that work if the -- let's just say the actual capacity factor of the wood boiler is only half of what it normally would be, because there was a problem, you know, you had to replace the steam turbine or the generator set or something or you just couldn't sell the electricity, how would that formula work? Can you describe that? mean, that might be -- let's say station service power stayed the same, the requirements, although they logically go down if you generated less steam, but let's just assume they stayed the same. Now, the steam used to generate the station power would be 20 percent of the total. Would that be 15 percent of that 20 percent total? How would that -- how does that formula work?

- 1 Α. What it looks at is it looks at the total amount of 2 power produced gross, and the total power sold, so what 3 the total net power out is. And, so, that gives us 4 what the amount of electricity used in the plant to run 5 the facility. If it takes, let's say, one and a half 6 megawatts to run that plant, then the portion of that 7 that would go to the steam would be -- it would still be 15 percent of that, because it's a percentage of 8 9 what the capacity of the steam is. And, it's the same 10 amount of power, assuming the power doesn't come down, 11 so it's the same amount of electricity used in-house. 12 So, it would be the same -- it should be the same fuel 13 cost to do that same amount of power. As a percentage 14 of total power generated, it might be different. But 15 it's the same one and a half megawatts, whether they're 16 generating 10 megawatts or whether they're generating 17 17 megawatts.
 - Q. And, that's expressed in the formula on Page 11?
- 19 Α. Yes.

21

- 20 Okay. What do you expect the overall thermal Q. efficiency of the new plant to be, roughly?
- 22 Α. I did look at that. And, looking at the production of 23 electricity, plus the production of thermal, I think it 24 is in the neighborhood of 30 to 40 percent efficient.

The reason it's not higher than that is remember that

it's basically a district heating system that's only

operating in near peak for two or three months out of

the year, so it's --

Q. How does that compare to your current plant?

- A. Current? Well, I hadn't run those numbers. But the current plant, the boilers are certainly less efficient, but the electricity that is generated is cogenerated, and that's fairly efficient. I don't know offhand. I don't have that number.
- Q. Do you think this is -- the new plant will be more, have overall more efficiency?
- 13 A. The new plant will definitely be more efficient, yes.
 - Q. Just out of curiosity, what will you have for a condensing system? Where will your water come for condensing the steam?
 - A. We're going to be using something like a cooling tower on site, expect we're going to be actually using a spray pond on site. That will -- It's like a large fountain area, with sprays in it that will cool the water and just gets recirculated on site. That evaporates a fair amount of water, which is the reason why we need more makeup water, and which is why we're going to the river for the additional makeup water.

- Q. Okay. On Page 9 of the proposed contract, at 7.2.1.4, there's reference to "capital improvements...needed to meet the steam requirements of the Buyer, or will improve the efficiency or economics of the plant". My question is, would that language about "the efficiency or economics of the plant" capture efficiencies that would be perhaps primarily for the benefit of the power production, such as an improvement in steam turbine efficiency?
- A. I would say, no, it wouldn't. It would not include that. Because we elsewhere in the contract specifically exclude anything related to power production and those issues.
- Q. So, the intent is sort of the plant as it relates to the common function of producing steam for either steam sales or steam generation to go into electric generation?
- 18 A. Yes, that's right. Yes.

Q. In that regard, that concept of "station service power", that's the total station service power, both the -- is that the case? It's the electricity that's used both to run the steam generation side, as well as any electricity that might be consumed in the power plant side of the business?

A. That's right.

- Q. Okay. So, that's sort of an exception to that proration?
- A. That's right. Of the power required to run the turbine generator set, in terms of electrical pumps and that kind of thing, there -- I can think of a couple of hundred horsepower perhaps, as opposed to about 3,000 horsepower total connected load. It's not a significant portion of the facility.
 - Q. Will there be an emergency generator or will you just use system power to restart the plant if it isn't generating its own power?
 - A. We will have two emergency generator sets that we will be able to black start, provide our own power.
 - Q. Okay. In Section 7.3 at the end, there's a provision that states "The O&M Charge will not be reconciled with actual costs for past years, but rather will be adjusted prospectively only." And, then, the next sentence makes an exception and states that the O&M initially based on estimated data, it will "be adjusted and reconciled when actual data becomes available."

 I'm trying to understand what that first sentence that I read actually means. If you, after a couple of years of operation, say, you project the next year's O&M at a

million dollars, and it actually came in at \$1.2 million, you're not going to retrospectively reconcile, but you will adjust prospectively. How would you adjust prospectively if the next year's projected costs, let's say that the past year is the best indicator of the next year's performance, so you're projecting next year is going to be another 1.2 million. Would you just project 1.2 or would you take the deficiency from the prior year and put it into next year's O&M Charge?

- A. We would probably essentially use last year's, because that's typically what's going to be done as a budget for the upcoming year is going to be developed based on some of the historic expenses, what it has been, labor costs, the chemical costs, that sort of thing. And, it's not necessarily going to be a -- just a carte blanche that it's going to be next year what it was last year. But it's going to, if costs had increased that year, and all expectations are that it would stay at that same level or perhaps even increase, those adjustments will be made at that time.
- Q. But there wouldn't be any reconciliation of the difference for the prior year?
- A. That's right. If there was a cost that -- the costs

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

were higher than what was expected, then those costs are not going to be reclaimable. Those aren't going to be added to the following years.

- Q. But, likewise, if they're estimated at a million dollars or set at a million dollars, and they actually are at 800,000, you might adjust for the next year to 800,000, but there would be no recoupment to Concord Steam of that \$200,000 savings or its share of that?
- 9 A. That's right, yes.

- Q. What's the rationale for that again?
- A. It was -- It was a long time ago when we started this, writing this contract, I'm trying to remember why. It was a matter of trying to simplify it. We see that the power contracts do not have a pass-through on the Operation and Maintenance Charge. That the Operation and Maintenance Charge is estimated at year one, and then escalated for follow-on years. So, there's a real incentive for Concord Power to keep its O&M costs to a minimum. And, we felt that it's not a significant portion of the costs. That, rather than trying to go through all that and reconcile, that we would just do it this method. It was just kind of a decision at that time that this was one of the alternative ways, and we chose this way.

```
1
     Ο.
          So, the formula actually locks you into the actual
 2
          prior year performance with this "GDPIPD", which is an
 3
          inflation factor. So, you're not concerned that, say,
 4
          if the seller were some entity other than one that you
 5
          controlled, which it might be someday, that they
 6
          wouldn't try to manipulate this to consistently
 7
          overcharge you a little bit?
 8
     Α.
          No. Because it's going to be -- we're going to have to
 9
          review it to make sure the costs of the turbine
10
          generator expense, for instance, is not in there.
11
          We're going to want to review it to make sure that we
12
          feel that the costs are prudent and reasonable. And
          that, even if they did have a particular charge, in one
13
14
          year of -- it could very well have been a one-time
15
          expense that wouldn't necessarily be carried forward,
16
          and we'd have to, you know, use those -- that judgment
17
          in terms of setting the pricing for the following year.
18
                         CMSR. BELOW: Okay. I think that's all.
19
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good afternoon, Mr.
20
       Bloomfield.
21
                         WITNESS BLOOMFIELD: Good afternoon.
22
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: I have a few areas I
23
       wanted to ask you about.
```

24

BY CHAIRMAN GETZ:

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

- Q. Let's get back to the lease. So, the existing lease expires August, the end of August in 2010, correct?
- 3 A. Yes, that's right.
- 4 Q. And, you're expecting to go on line in February of 2011, is that --
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. And, there's a -- But your understanding, in your
 dealings with the State of New Hampshire, is they're
 inclined to extend the lease for the period of time
 that would be needed to carry over to the new project
 going on line, is that correct?
- 12 A. That's right.
- 13 Q. Now, I think at one point in your direct testimony, I

 14 wanted to make sure I understood this, is it -- would

 15 the State be inclined to renew your lease for an

 16 extended period of time beyond that gap period? You

 17 know, is it feasible that they would go another five,

 18 ten, twenty years, has that been discussed?
- A. It has been discussed. It's feasible. But it's the conditions that they wanted to put on that required us to invest a lot of money in that for an extension for another long period of time.
- 23 Q. And, have you ever performed some kind of analysis of, 24 if -- what the rate effects on customers would be if

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

1 you were to stay in the current facilities, looking at 2 what the lease might be, looking at what -- you also 3 testified about pollution control costs, have you done 4 any analysis of that? 5 Α. Yes, we have. And, the kind of capital costs we'd have 6 to incur in this would be significant. 7 CHAIRMAN GETZ: And, is that anywhere in 8 the record in this proceeding or any of our other 9 proceedings? 10 MS. KNOWLTON: Not that I'm aware of. 11 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Can we reserve Exhibit 12 Number 5? What's the next exhibit? 13 MS. FILLION: Five. 14 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Five for that analysis. 15 I take it what that is is a rate trajectory if you were to 16 stay in the existing facilities, with some assumptions 17 about costs increasing over time? 18 WITNESS BLOOMFIELD: Yes. 19 CHAIRMAN GETZ: So, let's hold Exhibit 20 Number 5 for that. 21 (Exhibit 5 reserved) 22 BY CHAIRMAN GETZ: 23 And, you also mentioned, I think talking about your 24 discussions with the State, something to the effect of

Α.

"improving the distribution system on the campus". Can you tell me again what those discussions were about and what that might involve?

The steam distribution system on the campus now is

- owned by the State and maintained by Concord Steam.

 And, we have had some sections of that steam system that we have had to wholesale replace because of major failures. And, it's been a sore point between us as to who actually should be paying for those wholesale replacements, because we're only supposed to be maintaining them. So, to resolve those issues, and to maintain this campus as a long-term customer, we would be taking over the complete control and responsibility for that distribution system. So, that's kind of what we're -- that's where part of those discussions go, and would be, as part of the condition of the whole package of us moving out, of us taking over the distribution system, would be the State's commitment to staying with us as a supplier of heat for their campus.
- Q. Okay. So, it's part of a *quid pro quo* for the extension of the lease, and then for exiting the premises. But -- That's the way I'm interpreting it.

 But is there any likelihood that that will have some effect on the rates that will be charged to other

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

Concord Steam customers? I guess my concern is either

-- is two-fold; whether there's a rate effect, and

whether it's the type of thing that needs some kind of
special contract?

- A. Taking over the distribution lines is something that, I mean, we're doing already now in this, so there's no -- we don't see any rate effect over and above what we're doing now. If there was a long-term contract with the State, then that would probably be a special contract that would need to get Commission approval on.
- Q. Okay. Let me ask also some questions about the back-up boilers in Sections 2.5, 2.7 and 7.5, I want to make sure I understand. In 2.5, you know, it says that "The Buyer may in its sole and absolute discretion elect to generate steam itself using its own facilities or purchase steam from any other source." Now, I mean, how likely is it that there's going to be some other source in your estimation?
- A. It's not particularly likely. Other than perhaps some of the things we have talked about in the past,

 St. Paul's School has indicated an interest in tying in with the system over the years. They have an existing steam plant out there. And, as part of the -- part of the package of supplying, of supplying them with steam,

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

perhaps we might take over operation of that steam

plant and use it as kind of another additional

emergency back-up, or perhaps in case we needed to

supply steam to -- as a separate back-up to supplying

steam to the Concord Hospital or -- those are the types

of things that have kind of crossed through our mind

that are not completely out of the realm of

possibilities.

- Q. I'm interested in this, because, in some respects, I think what your proposal amounts to is a proposal to restructure the steam industry in New Hampshire. And, that you're going to -- Concord Steam is going to be a distribution company for steam, as you indicated I think earlier in your testimony. And, effectively, there's one provider, wholesale provider of steam, --
- A. Uh-huh.

- Q. -- and that's going to be Concord Power. It seems like your only other alternative, "you" being in this case Concord Steam, is to self-generate using natural gas.

 Is that correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. And, that looks like it's purely a Concord Steam election, whether it wants to use -- wants to self-generate using natural gas. But, in actuality, it

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

doesn't really -- there's no requirement that the

Seller actually use the back-up boilers, but that, if I

understand the way 2.5 and 7.5 work, that Concord Power

could provide -- continue to provide steam in

actuality, at the cost of natural gas? Is that a fair

reading of the way 2.5 and 7.5 interact?

A. Yes, that's right.

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. So that, at any time that the cost of natural gas is less than the formula price for steam that comes out of Article 7, then presumably Concord Steam would make the election to take the lower natural gas generated price?
- A. That's right, yes.
- Q. And, I guess, if it didn't, in the annual prudence review, then Staff would be looking at whether there were periods when the price of natural gas was less than the formula price, is that correct?
- 17 A. Yes, that's right.
- Q. So, I guess, in the first year, you're expecting, in
 February of 2011, you're expecting rates to decrease by
 about 30 percent from where they are now?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- Q. What would the cost of natural gas have to be to beat that price, that 30 percent reduction?
- 24 A. Offhand, I don't know.

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

Q. Well, let's --

A. I'm trying to work through it. Probably cost of steam from this about \$10 a million Btu. So, gas would have to be probably in the neighborhood of \$8 a million Btu I think.

CHAIRMAN GETZ: Well, why don't we just reserve Exhibit Number 6, --

WITNESS BLOOMFIELD: Okay.

CHAIRMAN GETZ: -- so we can get the numbers on what it would take in terms of a natural gas price to --

WITNESS BLOOMFIELD: Yes.

CHAIRMAN GETZ: -- beat what would be the first year's rates as proposed under the Settlement Agreement.

(Exhibit 6 reserved)

17 BY CHAIRMAN GETZ:

Q. I think you may have also said in your -- either on direct or in responding to Mr. Damon, speaking about Article 7 and the way the price, the steam price may go. Is it your expectation -- well, I guess what is your expected rate trajectory? Do you think those rates will go down under the formula? They will stay steady? They will increase? You know, what's the

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

1 primary drivers and what's your expectation about what 2 will happen, say, during the first five years to that 3 price? 4 Α. During the first five years, I would expect certain 5 costs of operation to increase, the cost of wood fuel 6 is going to increase and that kind of thing. Along the 7 same lines, we're expecting our steam sales to 8 increase, and therefore are reducing our net effective 9 cost of steam. So, I am hopeful that the steam price 10 is going to stay fairly flat for the first five years. 11 I think that -- I'm hoping that the increase in steam 12 sales will offset whatever general inflation costs that 13 we have to -- might have to deal with in terms of 14 operating the facilities. 15 So, your expectation in the first five years is Q. 16 basically that the steam price would stay flat, is that 17 what you said? 18 Α. That's correct, yes. CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. I think that's 19 20 all the questions I have. Do you have redirect, 21 Ms. Knowlton? 22 MS. KNOWLTON: I have none. 23 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Anything further for

{DG 08-107} [REDACTED - For Public Use] {02-25-09}

24

this witness?

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

| 1 | (No verbal response) |
|----------------------|---|
| 2 | CHAIRMAN GETZ: Hearing nothing, then |
| 3 | you're excused. Thank you, Mr. Bloomfield. |
| 4 | WITNESS BLOOMFIELD: Thank you. |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN GETZ: Mr. Damon. |
| 6 | MR. DAMON: Thank you. I call Mr. Frink |
| 7 | and Mr. Wyatt. |
| 8 | (Whereupon Stephen Frink and Robert |
| 9 | Wyatt was duly sworn and cautioned by |
| 10 | the Court Reporter.) |
| 11 | STEPHEN FRINK, SWORN |
| 12 | ROBERT WYATT, SWORN |
| 13 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 14 | BY MR. DAMON: |
| 15 | Q. Okay. I'd like to ask you introductory questions, one |
| 16 | after the other. And, so, would you please state your |
| 17 | |
| 17 | names and places of employment for the record please. |
| 18 | names and places of employment for the record please. A. (Frink) My name is Stephen Frink. I'm employed by the |
| | |
| 18 | A. (Frink) My name is Stephen Frink. I'm employed by the |
| 18 19 | A. (Frink) My name is Stephen Frink. I'm employed by the Public Utilities Commission, located at 21 South Fruit |
| 18 19 20 | A. (Frink) My name is Stephen Frink. I'm employed by the Public Utilities Commission, located at 21 South Fruit Street. |
| 18 19 20 21 | A. (Frink) My name is Stephen Frink. I'm employed by the Public Utilities Commission, located at 21 South Fruit Street. A. (Wyatt) My name is Robert Wyatt, also employed by the |

1 please.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- A. (Frink) I have analyzed the Capacity Reserve Charge and the Sales Purchase Agreement, and filed testimony with my recommendations regarding those.
 - A. (Wyatt) And, I have reviewed the transfer of certain utility assets from the Concord Steam Division over to Concord Power, reviewed the system enhancements, the distribution system enhancements and upgrades, and also certain aspects of the Steam Purchase Agreement, including the O&M Charges and Fuel Charges that will be allocated to Concord Steam.
 - Q. And, have both of you been involved in a number of discovery requests and the technical sessions to discuss the filing and negotiate the settlement that's before the Commission?
- 16 A. (Frink) Yes.
- 17 A. (Wyatt) Yes.
- 18 Q. Let me start with you, Mr. Wyatt. You filed prefiled testimony in this docket, right?
- 20 A. (Wyatt) Yes, I did.
- Q. Okay. And, I'd like to show you a document, and ask you if that's a true copy of your prefiled testimony?
- 23 A. (Wyatt) Yes, it is.
- 24 Q. Do you have any corrections that you wish to make to

1 this document?

- 2 A. (Wyatt) No, I do not.
- Q. And, so, as it stands, it's the true and accurate testimony to the best of your ability and belief?
- 5 A. (Wyatt) That's correct.

6 MR. DAMON: Okay. I'd like to offer this as the next exhibit.

CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. We'll mark it for identification as "Exhibit Number 7".

(The document, as described, was herewith marked as **Exhibit 7** for identification.)

13 BY MR. DAMON:

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And, could you just briefly summarize the purpose of your testimony.
- A. (Wyatt) The purpose of my testimony today is to provide recommendations, Staff recommendations regarding the sale and transfer of certain utility assets from Concord Steam to Concord Power. Also, concerning the distribution system enhancements and upgrades, and certain aspects of the Steam Purchase Agreement related to the O&M Charges and Fuel Charges, and how they would be allocated to Concord Steam.
 - Q. Would you be more specific regarding the proposed

transfer of the utility owned assets to Concord Power?

- A. (Wyatt) Yes. As I explained in my prefiled testimony, these assets are not going to be needed under the restructured company. Concord Steam's core business is steam distribution to district steam customers in the City of Concord, the downtown area. And, the assets in question are related to power generation, boiler -- steam boiler plant operations, and woodyard operations, all of which will be handled by the Concord Power portion of the restructured company.
- Q. And, can you be more specific about your assessment of the proposed distribution system enhancements and upgrades?
- A. (Wyatt) Yes. And, there's two parts to this. One is the new steam lines, the 68 or 6,600-foot extension, whatever it ends up being, to tie the existing distribution system into the new steam plant. This is an important component. Obviously, you need to tie the distribution system in. It's going to be a new modern, more efficient steam plant. And, the other portion of this is going to be to correct some problematic steam mains in the City of Concord. Approximately 25 percent of Concord Steam's existing mains were put in back in the early '80s, and there's been problems with the

- insulation in these steam pipes. For some reason, the insulation has just not held up, it's deteriorated, and it's contributed to line loss in these sections. And, the Company expects to address these problems and reduce line losses, thus reducing costs to -- cost of energy to the steam customers.
- Q. How significant a problem has line loss been?

- A. (Wyatt) Line loss has been a fairly significant problem. It's a little bit different between gas and the steam industry, but they do have a significant steam loss. They have to keep their system energized in the summer, when steam loads are low. That contributes greatly to the steam loss. I think it's in the neighborhood of 30 percent.
- Q. And, in your opinion, are the provisions in the Steam

 Purchase Agreement regarding the proposed O&M and Fuel

 Charges that Concord Steam will be paying under the

 revised agreement reasonable?
- A. (Wyatt) Yes, I do. Under the new agreement -- well, actually, under the current setup, Concord Steam pays all of the O&M and fuel charges, and they pass those customers on -- those costs onto their customers.

 Under the new agreement, they're going to be picking up initially about 13.4 percent of the costs. Those costs

will certainly change a little bit, because of the bigger boiler operations. But, still we expect, the Company is even on record saying they expect the costs to be reduced by about 30 percent. Same thing with the -- while the O&M, the fuel costs, the boiler plant is going to be a base load plant now. And, it's running at a higher load factor. It's going to be a lot more efficient, and, more efficient, lower cost.

- Q. Commissioner Below asked a couple of questions about the reconcil -- or, the O&M Charge, and the fact that those are not annually reconcilable, but the Fuel Charges are annually reconcilable?
- A. (Wyatt) Yes, they are.

- Q. And, how do you support the difference in treatment?
- A. (Wyatt) Staff, I think, does an effective job of reviewing reconciled costs. And, with regard to the O&M costs not being reconciled, Commissioner Below did bring up one point that I'm glad he did bring up, and that was, in the initial year, there is no historical data to set the O&M cost. So, that initial year will, in fact, be reconciled, to give Staff more of a sanity check, and also so that we can set the bar for the second year. And, beyond that, we're going to monitor it as best we can. And, if we feel this charge is

[WITNESS PANEL: Frink|Wyatt]

- fluctuating greater than what we expected, we may come 1 2 in with different recommendations down the road.
- 3 (Frink) If I could add, the fuel costs, the energy costs themselves are much more variable than O&M costs. So, I wouldn't expect extreme fluctuations in the O&M 5 6 costs. So, the need for a reconciled item for that piece of the charge is -- there's less of a need for 7 that than there is for the energy costs.
- Thank you. Just one last question. You heard 9 Q. 10 Mr. Bloomfield testify at length this morning and earlier this afternoon regarding a number of topics 11 12 related to the filing. Do you have anything to add to 13 that or do you want to respond to anything that he said? 14
 - (Wyatt) I thought Mr. Bloomfield did an effective job of clarifying the issues and points. I have nothing further to add.
 - Mr. Frink, let me ask you a few questions as well. Q. filed prefiled testimony in this docket, right?
- 20 (Frink) Yes, I did. Α.

4

8

15

16

17

18

19

- 21 Okay. Let me show you a document and ask you if that's Q. 22 a copy of your prefiled testimony?
- (Frink) Yes, it is. 23 Α.
- 24 Q. And, does it include not only prefiled testimony, but a

[WITNESS PANEL: Frink|Wyatt]

- separately stapled Steam Purchase Agreement, which was an attachment to your testimony?
 - A. (Frink) It does.

3

11

- 4 MR. DAMON: All right. I'd like to offer that as the next numbered exhibit.
- 6 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Mr. Frink's testimony
 7 and attachments will be marked for identification as

8 "Exhibit Number 8".

9 (The document, as described, was 10 herewith marked as **Exhibit 8** for

12 BY MR. DAMON:

Q. Do you wish to make any changes or corrections to your testimony today?

identification.)

- 15 A. (Frink) I do not.
- Q. And, is your testimony, as included in Exhibit 8, true and accurate to the best of your knowledge and belief?
- 18 A. (Frink) Yes, it is.
- 19 Q. And, what is the purpose of your testimony?
- 20 A. (Frink) Well, again, I focused primarily on the Steam
 21 Purchase Agreement and the Capacity Charge within that.
- Q. And, specifically, what was your testimony regarding the proposed Steam Purchase Agreement?
- 24 A. (Frink) My testimony recommended conditional approval

- 1 of it. The conditions being that the final 2 determination of the Capacity Charge would be based on 3 actual costs, rather than estimated costs that were included in the initial SPA. And, also, that the O&M 4 Charges shouldn't be subject to fluctuations in the 5 electric generation. So, it was my belief that the 6 7 maximum capacity of the plant is what should be used to 8 determine the charge, the O&M charges to the utility. Does the Settlement Agreement and the revised Steam 9 Q. 10
 - Purchase Agreement address your concerns?
 - (Frink) It does address those two conditions.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- So, that's your recommendation in your prefiled 0. testimony, is that still your recommendation?
- Α. (Frink) That the SPA should be approved without conditions. If I may add on that? One major part of that testimony regarded the -- related to the reasonable and justness of the Capacity Charge. the way I did that is I, even though it was going to be a 30 percent decrease in rates, that doesn't mean it's necessarily a just rate. So, what I did is I compared the Capacity Charge that they were proposing or anticipating under the SPA with what the -- what rates would be if they had built the plant specifically to meet the utility's needs. And, using traditional

ratemaking, I calculated the revenue requirement under that, using that methodology, and it came in very close. I would like to add that my analysis was fairly simple, that it was conservative. That the assumption, such as I didn't include O&M and fuel costs, assuming it would be the same under both if they built a plant or if they entered this agreement. When, in fact, under the Agreement, with the much larger plant as we heard today, there will be economies of scale savings that you wouldn't necessarily realize if they built a steam plant just to serve the utility's purposes.

So, taking a fairly conservative approach, the Capacity Charge, what customers will be paying under this Capacity Charge will be very -- is just, compared to what they would be paying if Concord Steam had gone out and built their own plant.

- Q. Yes. And, your view of that, though, does that depend on the estimates of the construction costs and so on being accurate?
- A. (Frink) Well, absolutely, we'll be looking at the costs. Again, you don't know what the costs would be if they were to remain at the present site. And, from what we've been told, there would be a substantial increase in costs if they remain at the existing site.

And, so, without that comparison, I believe that's the reserved exhibit, Exhibit 6, that will tell us what the impact would be. So, yes, to the extent that the actual costs result in a charge something higher than what it would have been if they stayed at the current site, then that would be a concern.

- Q. How might the Commission treat plant costs for Concord Power, when Concord Steam seeks to recover a portion of those?
- A. (Frink) I would expect the Commission to deal with it in a method similar to what they did when the woodyard lease was approved. And, what we did with the woodyard lease is Concord Steam, while the principals of Concord Steam purchased the property and developed the woodyard, that property contained more than just the needs of the utility. There was a house on it that they leased out, some property that wasn't going to be used for utility purposes. It was a triple net lease that was approved, but the Commission said -- ruled that, well, the settlement was that they wouldn't get to recover those costs related to the part of the property not to be used by the utility.

Another component of that lease was that any additional revenues generated from the use of the

| 1 | | woodyard land, which the Concord Steam ratepayers were |
|----|------|---|
| 2 | | paying for, would be used as an offset to the fuel |
| 3 | | costs in the cost of energy. So, looking at the cost |
| 4 | | of the land for this Concord Power, there's 20 usable |
| 5 | | acres. The plant's going to use ten acres of it. |
| 6 | | There could be some allowance for the part that |
| 7 | | wouldn't be used and useful for the utility service. |
| 8 | | So, that would be how I'd propose to analyze and review |
| 9 | | any of those costs, and make a recommendation to the |
| 10 | | Commission. |
| 11 | | MR. DAMON: I have no further questions. |
| 12 | | CHAIRMAN GETZ: Ms. Knowlton? |
| 13 | | MS. KNOWLTON: I have a few questions |
| 14 | fo | r Mr. Wyatt. |
| 15 | | CROSS-EXAMINATION |
| 16 | BY M | S. KNOWLTON: |
| 17 | Q. | Mr. Wyatt, are you familiar with the language in |
| 18 | | Section 7.3 of the Steam Purchase Agreement that |
| 19 | | provides that the O&M costs that are incurred have to |
| 20 | | be in accordance with Good Utility Practices? |
| 21 | Α. | (Wyatt) Yes. |
| 22 | Q. | Do you believe that that's an important check on those |
| 23 | | costs? |

{DG 08-107} [REDACTED - For Public Use] {02-25-09}

(Wyatt) Yes, I do.

24

[WITNESS PANEL: Frink|Wyatt]

1 MS. KNOWLTON: Thank you. No further 2 questions.

BY CMSR. BELOW:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

24

- Q. Earlier we heard some places where the Exhibit A to the Settlement Agreement still needs revision. And, I'm wondering if, in Section 7.3, I'm not sure I heard it said, but, about eight or nine lines down, it says that the -- that annual cost "will be multiplied by the steam sales percentage and divided by the projected annual steam sales in MMBtu to establish the average O&M cost for all steam sold to Buyer." Should that be, instead of "projected annual steam sales", the "capacity", "steam capacity"?
- A. (Frink) That should be "maximum capacity", yes.
- Q. "Maximum capacity", okay. So, it's your understanding it's the intent of the Settlement to revise that as part of the revised filed exhibit?
- 18 A. (Frink) That's my understanding, yes.
- 19 Q. Okay.

20 CHAIRMAN GETZ: And, Ms. Knowlton, is that your understanding as well?

MS. KNOWLTON: Mr. Bloomfield is telling

23 me it's not.

WITNESS FRINK: Actually, I'm looking

1 now at 7.3, and it applies to the O&M Charge. 2 point in charge -- in using the maximum capacity for the 3 denominator in calculating the allocation of O&M Charges 4 is to avoid fluctuations in the O&M charges due to 5 electric generation. And, it seems to me it would be a 6 mistake, that that would subject the utility to the risk 7 that they might not produce to the expected capacity or 8 maximum capacity. 9 WITNESS WYATT: I believe Mr. Bloomfield 10 did say that, even in cases where the electricity 11 generation was lower, the O&M cost would not increase all 12 that dramatically to the Steam Division. I could be -- I 13 thought I recalled him testifying to that on the stand. I 14 could be corrected. 15 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Do the parties need a 16 minute on this issue? 17 MS. KNOWLTON: I believe we do. 18 MR. DAMON: Yes, we do. 19 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Well, are there other --20 let's, before we do that, do you have any other questions, 21 Commissioner Below? 22 CMSR. BELOW: Yes. 23 BY CMSR. BELOW:

Mr. Frink, in your original testimony, Exhibit 8, at

24

| 1 | | Page 11, there's a question at the bottom of the page |
|---|----|--|
| 2 | | "Will the capacity charge be adjusted to reflect the |
| 3 | | reasonable and prudent actual costs when the new plant |
| 4 | | is put into service?" And, the answer was "The |
| 5 | | Agreement contains no provision to that effect but |
| 6 | | should be revised to do so." Has the Agreement been |
| 7 | | revised to do so, as part of the Settlement? |
| 8 | Α. | (Frink) Yes. The parties agreed that they would use |

actual costs, rather than projected costs. And, I'll look at that revision. That should be in 7.1, 7.2 -- yes. If you look at the -- in my -- filed with my testimony is a copy of the 7.2.1.1 has 4.9 million as a projected debt service payment. And, in the SPA filed with the Settlement Agreement, that number has been pulled out. And, what we have done is we have used a different definition, we've better defined the debt service payment, which I believe now specifically states it will be actual costs. But I'll find that definition.

MS. KNOWLTON: Section 1.13.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. Right. "1.13 Debt Service Payment" is "The amount of actual debt incurred for and allocated to the capital costs required to construct the power plant". So,

there will be actual capital costs, versus the 4.9 that was estimated originally.

BY CMSR. BELOW:

- Q. And, I guess I'd like to call your attention to Page 9 of your testimony, Lines 14 through 19, as we break for the parties to confer about this question of O&M costs.

 Just you had expressed the concern that the "sales percentage used to determine the O&M charge should be revised to use Concord Power's steam capacity rather than the steam production." And, then, it says "If that were done, Concord Steam's share of the O&M costs would change with Concord Steam usage and would be uninfluenced by fluctuations in Concord Power's steam production." And, I presume that, setting aside this ambiguity, is it correct that you felt that the Settlement implemented this recommendation?
- A. (Frink) Yes. Absolutely.
- Q. But is there perhaps some question in terms of the way
 7.3 is worded, whether, in fact, Concord Steam's share
 of O&M costs would perhaps be influenced by fluctuation
 in Concord Power steam production?
- A. (Frink) It appears that that may be the case.

CMSR. BELOW: Okay.

CHAIRMAN GETZ: Well, let's take a five

```
1
       minute recess. And, we'll either hear more from Mr. Frink
 2
       on the response to that question or handle it through
 3
       redirect.
 4
                         (Whereupon a recess was taken at 4:03
 5
                         p.m. and the hearing reconvened at 4:06
 6
                         p.m.)
 7
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. We're back on the
 8
       record. And, Mr. Damon, Ms. Knowlton, Mr. Frink,
 9
       something more on --
10
                         MS. KNOWLTON:
                                       Somebody.
11
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: -- on how we should
12
       interpret Section 7.3 of the Settlement?
13
                         WITNESS FRINK:
                                         The Company and Staff
14
       both agree that the O&M will be based -- the total O&M
15
       charges to be recovered from the utility will be based on
16
       an allocation that uses the steam used by the utility,
17
       divided by the maximum capacity. This 7.3 was supposed to
18
       break it down to a per unit cost that would then be
19
       recovered by charging the utility. And, I think the
20
       Company and Staff are in agreement that that needs to be
21
       cleaned up so it's clearer. But that, when we file -- the
22
       Company files the revised SPA, that will be one of those
23
       corrections. That the intent is consistent with what I
24
       put in my testimony what the Company has agreed to, and
```

> 1 this wording will be adjusted accordingly. 2 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Thank you. 3 Though, in terms of, Ms. Knowlton, I guess we had reserved 4 an exhibit, we probably should have basically the revised 5 agreement and track change. 6 MS. KNOWLTON: Yes. 7 CHAIRMAN GETZ: And, then, I guess an 8 executed copy with the changes accepted. 9 MS. KNOWLTON: The Company didn't intend 10 to execute the Agreement until it had an order from the 11 Commission. I'm assuming that if the Commission were to 12 approve the proposed Agreement, the Company would execute 13 it upon receipt of the order, and then file the executed 14 Agreement with the Commission. 15 CHAIRMAN GETZ: As a compliance matter, 16 okay. 17 MS. KNOWLTON: Yes. 18 CHAIRMAN GETZ: That's fine. So, strike 19 the second part of that. 20 MS. KNOWLTON: Okay. 21 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Any redirect? 22 MS. KNOWLTON: None. 23 MR. DAMON: Just one last question. 24 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

| 1 | BY MR. DAMON: |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. You talked about the actual debt incurred in regard to |
| 3 | the definition of "debt service payment". And, as you |
| 4 | understand it, it is the actual prudent debt service |
| 5 | costs that get recovered from Concord Steam's |
| 6 | ratepayers? |
| 7 | A. (Frink) Absolutely. |
| 8 | MR. DAMON: Yes. Thank you. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN GETZ: Appearing there's |
| 10 | nothing further for these witnesses, you're excused. |
| 11 | Thank you, gentlemen. Is there any objection to striking |
| 12 | the identifications and admitting the exhibits into |
| 13 | evidence? |
| 14 | (No verbal response) |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN GETZ: Hearing no objection, |
| 16 | they will be admitted into evidence. Is there anything |
| 17 | else we need to address before opportunity for closings? |
| 18 | (No verbal response) |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN GETZ: Hearing nothing, then, |
| 20 | Mr. Damon. |
| 21 | MR. DAMON: Thank you. Staff has worked |
| 22 | very hard on this docket to understand the filing and all |
| 23 | the many issues that it raises. It has engaged in |
| 24 | significant amount of discovery and has engaged in |

numerous technical conferences and telephone conferences as well to, again, further understand the filing and to negotiate the Settlement that is before you today. And, based on the evidence and the provisions of the Settlement Agreement, Staff fully supports the Settlement Agreement, which includes, as part of its provisions, the draft Steam Purchase Agreement, which will be revised in certain respects following today.

CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you.

Ms. Knowlton.

MS. KNOWLTON: Thank you. Concord Steam is before the Commission today to present a Settlement Agreement on a very innovative project that it believes will be beneficial to the Company's customers. I want to thank the Staff of the Commission for its very close and careful review of the entire transaction. I think, as we've seen today, the Staff has raised a lot of very important issues that have resulted in changes to the Steam Purchase Agreement.

As Mr. Bloomfield testified, the Company will no longer be in the business of generating steam, and will be essentially a distribution utility once the plant is built by Concord Power. The new plant is going to generate steam for the Company's purchase and electricity

for sale in the wholesale market. As Mr. Bloomfield testified, it will create a much broader base across which to spread costs, which will ultimately result in lower rates for the Company's customers.

Mr. Bloomfield and the Staff witnesses have presented extensive testimony on the terms and conditions of the Steam Purchase Agreement, for which both the Company and the Staff are seeking approval. As provided in the Settlement Agreement, the Company and the Staff believe that the Steam Purchase Agreement, as proposed, is in the public interest, and would ask that the Commission approve it in its entirety. Of course, in the revised form that we're going to be submitting after the hearing.

The Steam Purchase Agreement has adequate safeguards in it to protect the public interest and, in particular, the utility's customers. These include the ability of the Commission to review the prudency of Concord Steam's conduct under the Steam Purchase Agreement and costs that flow through to customers, and ultimately may be included in rates. There are also provisions in the Steam Purchase Agreement that transfer certain risks to the electric side of Concord Power's business, which I believe are favorable to the

utility and its customers. And, there also is the ability of the utility retaining the right to cogenerate electricity and possibly purchase from other steam providers, in the event that that possibility materializes and it would be prudent for the utility to do that.

The Company would ask the Commission to approve the Settlement Agreement in its entirety as filed. That also includes provisions that would allow for the transfer of certain utility assets that are currently used for the cogeneration of electricity. The Company believes that that transfer is in the public good and in accordance with the terms of RSA 374:30.

The Company is very committed to keeping the Commission apprised of the status of the development of the cogeneration plant as it goes forward. And, assuming that the Commission were to approve the Settlement Agreement, to the transfer, you know, from being just a -- a distribution/generation utility to just a distribution utility.

I'd like to thank the Commission for all its time today in hearing testimony and considering the exhibits that are before it.

CHAIRMAN GETZ: All right. Then, thank you very much. And, we'll close the hearing and take the

```
1
       matter under advisement.
 2
                            (Whereupon the hearing ended at 4:13
 3
                           p.m.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```